

© Copyright SEK. Reproduction in any form without permission is prohibited.

## Åskskydd – Del 2: Riskhantering

*Protection against lightning –  
Part 2: Risk management*

Som svensk standard gäller europastandarden EN 62305-2:2012. Den svenska standarden innehåller den officiella engelska språkversionen av EN 62305-2:2012.

### Nationellt förord

Europastandarden EN 62305-2:2012

består av:

- **europastandardens ikraftsättningsdokument**, utarbetat inom CENELEC
- **IEC 62305-2, Second edition, 2010 - Protection against lightning - Part 2: Risk management**

utarbetad inom International Electrotechnical Commission, IEC.

Där texten i europastandarden avviker från texten i motsvarande avsnitt i IEC 62305-2, har detta markerats med ett lodrätt streck i marginalen.

Tidigare fastställd svensk standard SS-EN 62305-2, utgåva 1, 2006, gäller ej fr o m 2014-01-13.

### *Standarder underlättar utvecklingen och höjer elsäkerheten*

Det finns många fördelar med att ha gemensamma tekniska regler för bl a säkerhet, prestanda, dokumentation, utförande och skötsel av elprodukter, elanläggningar och metoder. Genom att utforma sådana standarder blir säkerhetskraven tydliga och utvecklingskostnaderna rimliga samtidigt som marknadens acceptans för produkten eller tjänsten ökar.

Många standarder inom elområdet beskriver tekniska lösningar och metoder som åstadkommer den elsäkerhet som föreskrivs av svenska myndigheter och av EU.

### *SEK är Sveriges röst i standardiseringsarbetet inom elområdet*

SEK Svensk Elstandard svarar för standardiseringen inom elområdet i Sverige och samordnar svensk medverkan i internationell och europeisk standardisering. SEK är en ideell organisation med frivilligt deltagande från svenska myndigheter, företag och organisationer som vill medverka till och påverka utformningen av tekniska regler inom elektrotekniken.

SEK samordnar svenska intressenters medverkan i SEKs tekniska kommittéer och stödjer svenska experters medverkan i internationella och europeiska projekt.

### *Stora delar av arbetet sker internationellt*

Utformningen av standarder sker i allt väsentligt i internationellt och europeiskt samarbete. SEK är svensk nationalkommitté av International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) och Comité Européen de Normalisation Electrotechnique (CENELEC).

Standardiseringsarbetet inom SEK är organiserat i referensgrupper bestående av ett antal tekniska kommittéer som speglar hur arbetet inom IEC och CENELEC är organiserat.

Arbetet i de tekniska kommittéerna är öppet för alla svenska organisationer, företag, institutioner, myndigheter och statliga verk. Den årliga avgiften för deltagandet och intäkter från försäljning finansierar SEKs standardiseringsverksamhet och medlemsavgift till IEC och CENELEC.

### *Var med och påverka!*

Den som deltar i SEKs tekniska kommittéarbete har möjlighet att påverka framtida standarder och får tidig tillgång till information och dokumentation om utvecklingen inom sitt teknikområde. Arbetet och kontakterna med kollegor, kunder och konkurrenter kan gynnsamt påverka enskilda företags affärsutveckling och bidrar till deltagarnas egen kompetensutveckling.

Du som vill dra nytta av dessa möjligheter är välkommen att kontakta SEKs kansli för mer information.

### **SEK Svensk Elstandard**

Box 1284  
164 29 Kista  
Tel 08-444 14 00  
[www.elstandard.se](http://www.elstandard.se)

English version

**Protection against lightning -  
Part 2: Risk management  
(IEC 62305-2:2010, modified)**

Protection contre la foudre -  
Partie 2: Evaluation des risques  
(CEI 62305-2:2010, modifiée)

Blitzschutz -  
Teil 2: Risiko-Management  
(IEC 62305-2:2010, modifiziert)

This European Standard was approved by CENELEC on 2012-03-19. CENELEC members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration.

Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre or to any CENELEC member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CENELEC member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CENELEC members are the national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and the United Kingdom.

**CENELEC**

European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization  
Comité Européen de Normalisation Electrotechnique  
Europäisches Komitee für Elektrotechnische Normung

**Management Centre: Avenue Marnix 17, B - 1000 Brussels**

## Contents

Foreword .....	6
Introduction .....	7
1 Scope .....	8
2 Normative references .....	8
3 Terms, definitions, symbols and abbreviations .....	8
3.1 Terms and definitions .....	8
3.2 Symbols and abbreviations .....	13
4 Explanation of terms .....	16
4.1 Damage and loss .....	16
4.2 Risk and risk components .....	18
4.3 Composition of risk components .....	20
5 Risk management .....	21
5.1 Basic procedure .....	21
5.2 Structure to be considered for risk assessment .....	22
5.3 Tolerable risk $R_T$ .....	22
5.4 Specific procedure to evaluate the need of protection .....	22
5.5 Procedure to evaluate the cost effectiveness of protection .....	23
5.6 Protection measures .....	26
5.7 Selection of protection measures .....	26
6 Assessment of risk components .....	26
6.1 Basic equation .....	26
6.2 Assessment of risk components due to flashes to the structure (S1) .....	27
6.3 Assessment of the risk component due to flashes near the structure (S2) .....	27
6.4 Assessment of risk components due to flashes to a line connected to the structure (S3) .....	27
6.5 Assessment of risk component due to flashes near a line connected to the structure (S4) .....	28
6.6 Summary of risk components .....	29
6.7 Partitioning of a structure in zones $Z_S$ .....	29
6.8 Partitioning of a line into sections $S_L$ .....	30
6.9 Assessment of risk components in a structure with zones $Z_S$ .....	30
6.10 Cost-benefit analysis for economic loss (L4) .....	31
Annex A (informative) Assessment of annual number $N$ of dangerous events .....	32
A.1 General .....	32
A.2 Assessment of the average annual number of dangerous events $N_D$ due to flashes to a structure and $N_{DJ}$ to an adjacent structure .....	32
A.3 Assessment of the average annual number of dangerous events $N_M$ due to flashes near a structure .....	37
A.4 Assessment of the average annual number of dangerous events $N_L$ due to flashes to a line .....	38
A.5 Assessment of average annual number of dangerous events $N_I$ due to flashes near a line .....	39
Annex B (informative) Assessment of probability $P_X$ of damage .....	40
B.1 General .....	40
B.2 Probability $P_A$ that a flash to a structure will cause injury to living beings by electric shock .....	40
B.3 Probability $P_B$ that a flash to a structure will cause physical damage .....	41

B.4	Probability $P_C$ that a flash to a structure will cause failure of internal systems .....	41
B.5	Probability $P_M$ that a flash near a structure will cause failure of internal systems ..	43
B.6	Probability $P_U$ that a flash to a line will cause injury to living beings by electric shock .....	44
B.7	Probability $P_V$ that a flash to a line will cause physical damage .....	45
B.8	Probability $P_W$ that a flash to a line will cause failure of internal systems .....	46
B.9	Probability $P_Z$ that a lightning flash near an incoming line will cause failure of internal systems .....	46
Annex C	(informative) Assessment of amount of loss $L_X$ .....	48
C.1	General .....	48
C.2	Mean relative amount of loss per dangerous event .....	48
C.3	Loss of human life (L1) .....	48
C.4	Unacceptable loss of service to the public (L2) .....	51
C.5	Loss of irreplaceable cultural heritage (L3) .....	52
C.6	Economic loss (L4) .....	53
Annex D	(informative) Evaluation of costs of loss .....	56
Annex E	(informative) Case study .....	57
E.1	General .....	57
E.2	Country house .....	57
E.3	Office building .....	62
E.4	Hospital .....	69
E.5	Apartment block .....	80
Bibliography	.....	85

## Figures

Figure 1	– Procedure for deciding the need of protection and for selecting protection measures .....	24
Figure 2	– Procedure for evaluating the cost-effectiveness of protection measures .....	25
Figure A.1	– Collection area $A_D$ of an isolated structure .....	33
Figure A.2	– Complex shaped structure .....	34
Figure A.3	– Different methods to determine the collection area for the given structure .....	35
Figure A.4	– Structure to be considered for evaluation of collection area $A_D$ .....	36
Figure A.5	– Collection areas ( $A_D$ , $A_M$ , $A_I$ , $A_L$ ) .....	39
Figure E.1	– Country house .....	57
Figure E.2	– Office building .....	62
Figure E.3	– Hospital .....	69
Figure E.4	– Apartment block .....	81

## Tables

Table 1	– Sources of damage, types of damage and types of loss according to the point of strike .....	18
Table 2	– Risk components to be considered for each type of loss in a structure .....	20
Table 3	– Factors influencing the risk components .....	21
Table 4	– Typical values of tolerable risk $R_T$ .....	22
Table 5	– Parameters relevant to the assessment of risk components .....	28
Table 6	– Risk components for different types of damage and source of damage .....	29
Table A.1	– Structure location factor $C_D$ .....	37
Table A.2	– Line installation factor $C_I$ .....	38

Table A.3 – Line type factor $C_T$ .....	38
Table A.4 – Line environmental factor $C_E$ .....	38
Table B.1 – Values of probability $P_{TA}$ that a flash to a structure will cause shock to living beings due to dangerous touch and step voltages .....	40
Table B.2 – Values of probability $P_B$ depending on the protection measures to reduce physical damage .....	41
Table B.3 – Value of the probability $P_{SPD}$ as a function of LPL for which SPDs are designed .....	42
Table B.4 – Values of factors $C_{LD}$ and $C_{LI}$ depending on shielding, grounding and isolation conditions .....	42
Table B.5 – Value of factor $K_{S3}$ depending on internal wiring .....	44
Table B.6 – Values of probability $P_{TU}$ that a flash to an entering line will cause shock to living beings due to dangerous touch voltages .....	45
Table B.7 – Value of the probability $P_{EB}$ as a function of LPL for which SPDs are designed .....	45
Table B.8 – Values of the probability $P_{LD}$ depending on the resistance $R_S$ of the cable screen and the impulse withstand voltage $U_W$ of the equipment .....	45
Table B.9 – Values of the probability $P_{LI}$ depending on the line type and the impulse withstand voltage $U_W$ of the equipment .....	47
Table C.1 – Type of loss L1: Loss values for each zone .....	49
Table C.2 – Type of loss L1: Typical mean values of $L_T$ , $L_F$ and $L_O$ .....	49
Table C.3 – Reduction factor $r_t$ as a function of the type of surface of soil or floor .....	50
Table C.4 – Reduction factor $r_p$ as a function of provisions taken to reduce the consequences of fire .....	50
Table C.5 – Reduction factor $r_f$ as a function of risk of fire or explosion of structure .....	51
Table C.6 – Factor $h_z$ increasing the relative amount of loss in presence of a special hazard .....	51
Table C.7 – Type of loss L2: Loss values for each zone .....	52
Table C.8 – Type of loss L2: Typical mean values of $L_F$ and $L_O$ .....	52
Table C.9 – Type of loss L3: Loss values for each zone .....	52
Table C.10 – Type of loss L3: Typical mean value of $L_F$ .....	53
Table C.11 – Type of loss L4: Loss values for each zone .....	53
Table C.12 – Type of loss L4: Typical mean values of $L_T$ , $L_F$ and $L_O$ .....	54
Table C.Z1 – Values to assess the total value $c_t$ .....	54
Table C.Z2 – Portions to assess the total values $c_a$ , $c_b$ , $c_c$ , $c_s$ .....	55
Table E.1 – Country house: Environment and structure characteristics .....	58
Table E.2 – Country house: Power line .....	58
Table E.3 – Country house: Telecom line (TLC) .....	59
Table E.4 – Country house: Factors valid for zone $Z_2$ (inside the building) .....	60
Table E.5 – Country house: Collection areas of structure and lines .....	60
Table E.6 – Country house: Expected annual number of dangerous events .....	61
Table E.7 – Country house: Risk $R_1$ for the unprotected structure (values $\times 10^{-5}$ ) .....	61
Table E.8 – Country house: Risk components relevant to risk $R_1$ for protected structure .....	62
Table E.9 – Office building: Environment and structure characteristics .....	63
Table E.10 – Office building: Power line .....	63
Table E.11 – Office building: Telecom line .....	64
Table E.12 – Office building: Distribution of persons into zones .....	64
Table E.13 – Office building: Factors valid for zone $Z_1$ (entrance area outside) .....	65
Table E.14 – Office building: Factors valid for zone $Z_2$ (garden outside) .....	65

Table E.15 – Office building: Factors valid for zone $Z_3$ (archive) .....	66
Table E.16 – Office building: Factors valid for zone $Z_4$ (offices) .....	66
Table E.17 – Office building: Factors valid for zone $Z_5$ (computer centre) .....	67
Table E.18 – Office building: Collection areas of structure and lines .....	67
Table E.19 – Office building: Expected annual number of dangerous events .....	68
Table E.20 – Office building: Risk $R_1$ for the unprotected structure (values $\times 10^{-5}$ ) .....	68
Table E.21 – Office building: Risk $R_1$ for the protected structure (values $\times 10^{-5}$ ) .....	69
Table E.22 – Hospital: Environment and global structure characteristics .....	70
Table E.23 – Hospital: Power line .....	70
Table E.24 – Hospital: Telecom line .....	71
Table E.25 – Hospital: Distribution of persons and of economic values into zones .....	72
Table E.26 – Hospital: Factors valid for zone $Z_1$ (outside the building) .....	73
Table E.27 – Hospital: Factors valid for zone $Z_2$ (rooms block) .....	73
Table E.28 – Hospital: Factors valid for zone $Z_3$ (operating block) .....	74
Table E.29 – Hospital: Factors valid for zone $Z_4$ (intensive care unit) .....	75
Table E.30 – Hospital: Collection areas of structure and lines .....	75
Table E.31 – Hospital: Expected annual number of dangerous events .....	76
Table E.32 – Hospital: Risk $R_1$ – Values of probability $P$ for the unprotected structure .....	76
Table E.33 – Hospital: Risk $R_1$ for the unprotected structure (values $\times 10^{-5}$ ) .....	77
Table E.34 – Hospital: Risk $R_1$ for the protected structure according to solution a) (values $\times 10^{-5}$ ) .....	78
Table E.35 – Hospital: Risk $R_1$ for the protected structure according to solution b) (values $\times 10^{-5}$ ) .....	78
Table E.36 – Hospital: Risk $R_1$ for the protected structure according to solution c) (values $\times 10^{-5}$ ) .....	79
Table E.37 – Hospital: Cost of loss $C_L$ (unprotected) and $C_{RL}$ (protected) .....	79
Table E.38 – Hospital: Rates relevant to the protection measures .....	80
Table E.39 – Hospital: Cost $C_P$ and $C_{PM}$ of protection measures (values in \$) .....	80
Table E.40 – Hospital: Annual saving of money (values in \$) .....	80
Table E.41 – Apartment block: Environment and global structure characteristics .....	81
Table E.42 – Apartment block: Power line .....	82
Table E.43 – Apartment block: Telecom line .....	82
Table E.44 – Apartment block: Factors valid for zone $Z_2$ (inside the building) .....	83
Table E.45 – Apartment block: Risk $R_1$ for the apartment block depending on protection measures .....	84

## Foreword

This document (EN 62305-2:2012) consists of the text of IEC 62305-2:2010 prepared by IEC/TC 81, "Lightning protection", together with the common modifications prepared by CLC/TC 81X, "Lightning protection".

The following dates are fixed:

- latest date by which this document has to be implemented (dop) 2013-03-19  
at national level by publication of an identical national standard or by endorsement
- latest date by which the national standards conflicting with this document have to be withdrawn (dow) 2014-01-13

This document supersedes EN 62305-2:2006 + corrigendum November 2006.

EN 62305-2:2012 includes the following significant technical changes with respect to EN 62305-2:2006:

- 1) risk assessment for services connected to structures is excluded from the scope;
- 2) injuries of living beings caused by electric shock inside the structure are considered;
- 3) tolerable risk of loss of cultural heritage is lowered from  $10^{-3}$  to  $10^{-4}$ ;
- 4) extended damage to surroundings structures or to the environment is considered;
- 5) improved formulas are provided for evaluation of
  - collection areas relevant to flashes nearby a structure,
  - collection areas relevant to flashes to and nearby a line,
  - probabilities that a flash can cause damage,
  - loss factors even in structures with risk of explosion,
  - risk relevant to a zone of a structure,
  - cost of loss.
- 6) tables are provided to select the relative amount of loss in all cases;
- 7) impulse withstand voltage level of equipments was extended down to 1 kV.

Notes and tables, which are additional to those in IEC 62305-2:2010 are prefixed "Z".

In this document, the common modifications to IEC 62305-2:2010 are indicated by a vertical line in the left margin of the text.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CENELEC [and/or CEN] shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

## Introduction

Lightning flashes to earth may be hazardous to structures and to lines.

The hazard to a structure can result in

- damage to the structure and to its contents,
- failure of associated electrical and electronic systems,
- injury to living beings in or close to the structure.

Consequential effects of the damage and failures may be extended to the surroundings of the structure or may involve its environment.

To reduce the loss due to lightning, protection measures may be required. Whether they are needed, and to what extent, should be determined by risk assessment.

The risk, defined in this part of EN 62305 as the probable average annual loss in a structure due to lightning flashes, depends on

- the annual number of lightning flashes influencing the structure,
- the probability of damage by one of the influencing lightning flashes,
- the mean amount of consequential loss.

Lightning flashes influencing the structure may be divided into

- flashes terminating on the structure,
- flashes terminating near the structure, direct to connected lines (power, telecommunication lines,) or near the lines.

Flashes to the structure or a connected line may cause physical damage and life hazards. Flashes near the structure or line as well as flashes to the structure or line may cause failure of electrical and electronic systems due to overvoltages resulting from resistive and inductive coupling of these systems with the lightning current.

Moreover, failures caused by lightning overvoltages in users' installations and in power supply lines may also generate switching type overvoltages in the installations.

NOTE Malfunctioning of electrical and electronic systems is not covered by the EN 62305 series. Reference should be made to EN 61000-4-5<sup>[2]1)</sup>.

The number of lightning flashes influencing the structure depends on the dimensions and the characteristics of the structure and of the connected lines, on the environmental characteristics of the structure and the lines, as well as on lightning ground flash density in the region where the structure and the lines are located.

The probability of lightning damage depends on the structure, the connected lines, and the lightning current characteristics, as well as on the type and efficiency of applied protection measures.

The annual mean amount of the consequential loss depends on the extent of damage and the consequential effects which may occur as result of a lightning flash.

The effect of protection measures results from the features of each protection measure and may reduce the damage probabilities or the amount of consequential loss.

The decision to provide lightning protection may be taken regardless of the outcome of risk assessment where there is a desire that there be no avoidable risk.

---

1) Figures in square brackets refer to the bibliography.

## 1 Scope

This part of EN 62305 is applicable to risk assessment for a structure due to lightning flashes to earth.

Its purpose is to provide a procedure for the evaluation of such a risk. Once an upper tolerable limit for the risk has been selected, this procedure allows the selection of appropriate protection measures to be adopted to reduce the risk to or below the tolerable limit.

## 2 Normative references

The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this document and are indispensable for its application. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

EN 62305-1:2011, *Protection against lightning – Part 1: General principles* (IEC 62305-1:2010, mod.)

EN 62305-3:2011, *Protection against lightning – Part 3: Physical damage to structures and life hazard* (IEC 62305-3:2010, mod.)

EN 62305-4:2011, *Protection against lightning – Part 4: Electrical and electronic systems within structures* (IEC 62305-4:2010, mod.)