



Utgiven 2016-10-12

Utgåva **1**  Sida 1 (1+101) Ansvarig kommitté

SEK TK 62

© Copyright SEK. Reproduction in any form without permission is prohibited.

# Medicintekniska produkter – Del 2: Vägledning vid tillämpning av metoder för att säkerställa medicintekniska produkters användarvänlighet

Medical devices -

Part 2: Guidance on the application of usability engineering to medical devices (IEC Technical Report 62366-2:2016)

Denna tekniska rapport innehåller bakgrundsinformation och vägledning till hjälp för dem som använder SS-EN 62366-1 för att säkerställa medicintekniska produkters användarvänlighet. Den är avsedd att användas tillsammans med SS-EN 62366-1, utgåva 1, 2016.

ISSN 1651-1417

ICS 11.040.01

#### Standarder underlättar utvecklingen och höjer elsäkerheten

Det finns många fördelar med att ha gemensamma tekniska regler för bl a mätning, säkerhet och provning och för utförande, skötsel och dokumentation av elprodukter och elanläggningar.

Genom att utforma sådana standarder blir säkerhetsfordringar tydliga och utvecklingskostnaderna rimliga samtidigt som marknadens acceptans för produkten eller tjänsten ökar.

Många standarder inom elområdet beskriver tekniska lösningar och metoder som åstadkommer den elsäkerhet som föreskrivs av svenska myndigheter och av EU.

### SEK är Sveriges röst i standardiseringsarbetet inom elområdet

SEK Svensk Elstandard svarar för standardiseringen inom elområdet i Sverige och samordnar svensk medverkan i internationell och europeisk standardisering. SEK är en ideell organisation med frivilligt deltagande från svenska myndigheter, företag och organisationer som vill medverka till och påverka utformningen av tekniska regler inom elektrotekniken.

SEK samordnar svenska intressenters medverkan i SEKs tekniska kommittéer och stödjer svenska experters medverkan i internationella och europeiska projekt.

#### Stora delar av arbetet sker internationellt

Utformningen av standarder sker i allt väsentligt i internationellt och europeiskt samarbete. SEK är svensk nationalkommitté av International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) och Comité Européen de Normalisation Electrotechnique (CENELEC).

Standardiseringsarbetet inom SEK är organiserat i referensgrupper bestående av ett antal tekniska kommittéer som speglar hur arbetet inom IEC och CENELEC är organiserat.

Arbetet i de tekniska kommittéerna är öppet för alla svenska organisationer, företag, institutioner, myndigheter och statliga verk. Den årliga avgiften för deltagandet och intäkter från försäljning finansierar SEKs standardiseringsverksamhet och medlemsavgift till IEC och CENELEC.

#### Var med och påverka!

Den som deltar i SEKs tekniska kommittéarbete har möjlighet att påverka framtida standarder och får tidig tillgång till information och dokumentation om utvecklingen inom sitt teknikområde. Arbetet och kontakterna med kollegor, kunder och konkurrenter kan gynnsamt påverka enskilda företags affärsutveckling och bidrar till deltagarnas egen kompetensutveckling.

Du som vill dra nytta av dessa möjligheter är välkommen att kontakta SEKs kansli för mer information.

SEK Svensk Elstandard

Box 1284 164 29 Kista Tel 08-444 14 00 www.elstandard.se

## CONTENTS

Г	JKEWC		
IN	ITRODI	JCTION	8
1	Scor	pe and purpose	9
	1.1	Scope	
	1.2	Purpose	
2		native references	
3		ns and definitions	
4		Mapping between the requirements of IEC 62366-1 and the guidance of	
•		TR 62366-2	14
5	Back	ground and justification of the USABILITY ENGINEERING program	14
	5.1	How safety relates to usability	14
	5.2	Reasons to invest in USABILITY ENGINEERING	15
6	How	to implement a USABILITY ENGINEERING program	16
	6.1	Effective USABILITY ENGINEERING programs	16
	6.2	Effective USABILITY ENGINEERING projects and plans	
	6.3	Apply an appropriate level of USABILITY ENGINEERING expertise	
	6.4	Ensure the necessary resources are available and well timed	18
	6.5	RISK MANAGEMENT as it relates to USABILITY ENGINEERING	18
	6.5.1	RISK ANALYSIS	18
	6.5.2	RISK CONTROL	19
	6.5.3	Information for SAFETY	20
	6.5.4	Overall evaluation of RESIDUAL RISK	22
	6.6	USABILITY ENGINEERING FILE	22
	6.7	Tailoring the USABILITY ENGINEERING effort	23
7	Ove	rview of the USABILITY ENGINEERING PROCESS	24
8	Prepare the USE SPECIFICATION		
	8.1	Initiate USE SPECIFICATION	27
	8.2	Analyse the intended users, anticipated user Tasks and intended use ENVIRONMENTS	28
	8.2.1	I Intended USERS	28
	8.2.2	2 Anticipated USER TASKS	30
	8.2.3	Intended USE ENVIRONMENT	30
	8.3	Finalize the USE SPECIFICATION	30
	8.4	Recommended methods for developing the USE SPECIFICATION	31
	8.4.1		
	8.4.2	1. 7	
	8.4.3	, ,	
	8.4.4	•	
	8.4.5	, i	
	8.4.6		32
9		tify USER INTERFACE characteristics related to SAFETY and potential USE DRS	30
	9.1	General	
	9.2	Task analysis Function analysis	
	9.3 9.4	Identify and analyse known problems	
	5.4	identity and analyse known problems	

10 Iden	tify known or foreseeable HAZARDS and HAZARDOUS SITUATIONS	35
11 Iden	tify and describe HAZARD-RELATED USE SCENARIOS	36
11.1	Define USE SCENARIOS	36
11.2	USE SCENARIOS as they relate to RISK MANAGEMENT	36
11.3	Identify HAZARD-RELATED USE SCENARIOS	
11.4	Methods to define and analyse HAZARD-RELATED USE SCENARIOS	
12 Sele	ect the HAZARD-RELATED USE SCENARIOS for SUMMATIVE EVALUATION	
12.1	General	38
12.2	Selection of the HAZARD-RELATED USE SCENARIOS based on SEVERITY	39
12.3	Selection of HAZARD-RELATED USE SCENARIOS based on other circumstances	39
13 Esta	ablish user interface specification	
13.1	Development of the USER INTERFACE SPECIFICATION	40
13.2	ACCOMPANYING DOCUMENTATION and training	
	ablish user interface evaluation plan	
14.1	Specify how the USER INTERFACE design will be explored and evaluated	
14.2	FORMATIVE EVALUATION planning	
14.3	SUMMATIVE EVALUATION planning	
14.4	USABILITY TEST planning	
14.5	Example USABILITY TEST protocol and report	
	ign and implement the USER INTERFACE and training	
15.1	General	
15.2	Develop conceptual model(s)	
15.3	Design software USER INTERFACES (if applicable)	
15.3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
15.3		
15.3		
15.3		
15.3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
15.4	Design hardware USER INTERFACES (if applicable)	
15.4	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
15.4	Review USER INTERFACE REQUIREMENTS and constraints	49
15.4		
15.5	Design materials necessary for training and training	49
15.5	5.1 General	49
15.5	5.2 Training materials	49
15.5	i.3 Training	51
15.6	Develop detailed designs	52
15.7	Verify the design of the USER INTERFACE	52
16 Perf	orm formative evaluations	52
16.1	Conduct multiple FORMATIVE EVALUATIONS	52
16.2	Recommended methods for FORMATIVE EVALUATION	53
16.2	2.1 General	53
16.2	2.2 Conduct heuristic analysis	54
16.2	2.3 Conduct cognitive walkthrough	54
16.2	2.4 Conduct USABILITY TESTS	54
16.3	Analysis of FORMATIVE EVALUATION results	
17 Perf	orm SUMMATIVE EVALUATION	55
474	Conoral	E E

17.2	Conduct a SUMMATIVE EVALUATION	56
17.2	Data collection	
17.3.		
17.3.		
17.3.		
	Data analysis	
	ment the USABILITY ENGINEERING project	
	• •	
	-PRODUCTION review and analysis	
	informative) Recommended reading list	
Annex B (	informative) External resources to identify known problems	66
B.1	General	66
B.2	Austria	66
B.3	Germany	66
B.4	Sweden	67
B.5	Switzerland	67
B.6	United Kingdom	67
B.7	United States	67
Annex C (	informative) Developing USABILITY GOALS for commercial purposes	68
C.1	General	68
C.2	Objective goals	
C.3	Subjective goals	
	informative) USABILITY ENGINEERING project end products	
	informative) USABILITY ENGINEERING methods	
E.1	General	
E.2	Advisory panel reviews	
E.3	Brainstorm USE SCENARIOS	
E.4	Cognitive walkthrough	
E.5	Contextual inquiry	
E.6	Day-in-the-life analysis	
E.7	Expert reviews	
E.8	FMEA and FTA	
E.9	Focus groups	
E.10	FUNCTION ANALYSIS	
E.11	Heuristic analysis	
E.12	Observation	
E.13	One-on-one interviews	
E.14	Participatory design	
E.15	PCA analysis	
E.16	SIMULATION	
E.17	Standards reviews	
E.18	Surveys	
E.19	TASK ANALYSIS	
E.20	Time-and-motion studies	
E.21	Workload assessment	
Annex F (	informative) USABILITY ENGINEERING studies in clinical settings	85
F.1	General	85
F.2	Sample study in the clinical environment	85
Annex G	(informative) USER PROFILE	87

Annex H (informative) USE ENVIRONMENT descriptions	89
Annex I (informative) USER INTERFACE REQUIREMENTS	91
Annex J (informative) Model the USER INTERFACE	92
J.1 General	92
J.2 Develop preliminary prototype(s)	
J.3 Develop a refined prototype	
J.4 Develop a specification prototype	
J.5 Prepare a style guide	
Annex L (informative) Identifying distinct USER groups	
Bibliography	
Index of defined terms	
index or defined terms	101
Figure 1 – Example of a USABILITY ENGINEERING project for a graphical USER INTERFACE	26
Figure 2 – Progression of a USER INTERFACE design from multiple concepts to a few concepts to a preferred concept	46
Figure 3 – Progression of concepts from multiple concepts to a few concepts to a preferred concept	50
Figure E.1 – Sample of a USE ENVIRONMENT within a hospital	76
Figure E.2 – Model of USER-MEDICAL DEVICE interaction	81
Figure E.3 – Infant manikin used in a neonatal care unit simulator (left), test participant simulating an auto-injector (centre) and an adult manikin used in a surgery SIMULATION (right)	82
Figure E.4 – Example hierarchical TASK ANALYSIS	84
Figure J.1 – User Interface designers using prototyping software to build and test a USER INTERFACE	93
Figure K.1 – Number of test participants needed in a USABILITY TEST for FORMATIVE EVALUATION	95
Table 1 – Mapping between the requirements of IEC 62366-1 and the guidance of IEC TR 62366-2	14
Table 2 – Human versus machine capabilities	34
Table 3 – Example of five qualitative SEVERITY levels (adapted from Table D.3 of ISO 14971:2007)	39
Table 4 – Example outline of a USABILITY TEST protocol	44
Table 5 – Example outline of a USABILITY TEST report	44
Table 6 – Use errors caused by sample user Interface design shortcomings	55
Table 7 – Sample USE ERRORS and their root causes	60
Table D.1 – USABILITY ENGINEERING project end products	71
Table E.1 – Recommended application of USABILITY methods	74
Table G.1 – Sample user profile	87
Table H.1 – Sample use environment	89
Table I.1 – Sample user interface requirements	91
Table K 1 – Cumulative probability of detecting a USABILITY problem	96

### INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

## MEDICAL DEVICES -

# Part 2: Guidance on the application of usability engineering to medical devices

### **FOREWORD**

- 1) The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is a worldwide organization for standardization comprising all national electrotechnical committees (IEC National Committees). The object of IEC is to promote international co-operation on all questions concerning standardization in the electrical and electronic fields. To this end and in addition to other activities, IEC publishes International Standards, Technical Specifications, Technical Reports, Publicly Available Specifications (PAS) and Guides (hereafter referred to as "IEC Publication(s)"). Their preparation is entrusted to technical committees; any IEC National Committee interested in the subject dealt with may participate in this preparatory work. International, governmental and non-governmental organizations liaising with the IEC also participate in this preparation. IEC collaborates closely with the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) in accordance with conditions determined by agreement between the two organizations.
- 2) The formal decisions or agreements of IEC on technical matters express, as nearly as possible, an international consensus of opinion on the relevant subjects since each technical committee has representation from all interested IEC National Committees.
- 3) IEC Publications have the form of recommendations for international use and are accepted by IEC National Committees in that sense. While all reasonable efforts are made to ensure that the technical content of IEC Publications is accurate, IEC cannot be held responsible for the way in which they are used or for any misinterpretation by any end user.
- 4) In order to promote international uniformity, IEC National Committees undertake to apply IEC Publications transparently to the maximum extent possible in their national and regional publications. Any divergence between any IEC Publication and the corresponding national or regional publication shall be clearly indicated in the latter.
- 5) IEC itself does not provide any attestation of conformity. Independent certification bodies provide conformity assessment services and, in some areas, access to IEC marks of conformity. IEC is not responsible for any services carried out by independent certification bodies.
- 6) All users should ensure that they have the latest edition of this publication.
- 7) No liability shall attach to IEC or its directors, employees, servants or agents including individual experts and members of its technical committees and IEC National Committees for any personal injury, property damage or other damage of any nature whatsoever, whether direct or indirect, or for costs (including legal fees) and expenses arising out of the publication, use of, or reliance upon, this IEC Publication or any other IEC Publications.
- 8) Attention is drawn to the Normative references cited in this publication. Use of the referenced publications is indispensable for the correct application of this publication.
- 9) Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this IEC Publication may be the subject of patent rights. IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

The main task of IEC technical committees is to prepare International Standards. However, a technical committee may propose the publication of a technical report when it has collected data of a different kind from that which is normally published as an International Standard, for example "state of the art".

IEC 62366-2, which is a technical report, has been prepared by a joint working group of subcommittee 62A: Common aspects of electrical equipment used in medical practice, of IEC technical committee 62: Electrical equipment in medical practice, and technical committee ISO/TC 210: Quality management and corresponding general aspects for medical devices.

It is published as a double logo standard.

The text of this technical report is based on the following documents:

Enquiry draft	Report on voting
62A/1015/DTR	62A/1040A/RVC

Full information on the voting for the approval of this technical report can be found in the report on voting indicated in the above table. In ISO, the standard has been approved by 23 P-members out of 36 having cast a vote.

This publication has been drafted in accordance with the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

In this Technical Report, the following print types are used.

- Guidance for the implementation of a USABILITY ENGINEERING (HUMAN FACTORS ENGINEERING) PROCESS required by IEC 62366-1:2015 and definitions): roman type.
- Additional information about USABILITY ENGINEERING best practices: italic type.
- Informative material appearing outside of tables, such as notes, examples and references: in smaller type.
   Text of tables is also in a smaller type.
- TERMS DEFINED IN CLAUSE 3 OR AS NOTED: SMALL CAPITALS.

A list of all parts in the IEC 62366, published under the general title *Medical devices*, can be found on the IEC website.

This technical report is to be read in conjunction with IEC 62366-1:2015.

The committee has decided that the contents of this publication will remain unchanged until the stability date indicated on the IEC website under "http://webstore.iec.ch" in the data related to the specific publication. At this date, the publication will be

- reconfirmed,
- withdrawn,
- replaced by a revised edition, or
- amended.

A bilingual version of this publication may be issued at a later date.

IMPORTANT – The 'colour inside' logo on the cover page of this publication indicates that it contains colours which are considered to be useful for the correct understanding of its contents. Users should therefore print this document using a colour printer.

### INTRODUCTION

This technical report provides MEDICAL DEVICE MANUFACTURERS with guidance on how to integrate USABILITY ENGINEERING (also called HUMAN FACTORS ENGINEERING) principles and USER INTERFACE design practices into their overall MEDICAL DEVICE development PROCESSES. The technical report recognizes that all MEDICAL DEVICEs involving human interaction present opportunities for optimization through the application of USABILITY ENGINEERING and seeks to guide the MEDICAL DEVICE MANUFACTURERS efforts.

This report concerns the quality of USER interactions with MEDICAL DEVICES that are as varied as acquiring information on a display, pressing a physical button or on-screen touch target button, selecting items on a software menu, attaching ACCESSORIES to a MEDICAL DEVICE and interpreting warnings as well as understanding relevant aspects for the proper use of the MEDICAL DEVICE by reading the ACCOMPANYING DOCUMENTATION. USABILITY ENGINEERING programs, if properly implemented, can increase the likelihood that USERS are able to perform such actions correctly and without hindrance.

Medical practice is increasingly using MEDICAL DEVICES for observation and treatment of PATIENTS. USE ERRORS caused by inadequate MEDICAL DEVICE USABILITY have become an increasing cause for concern. Many of the MEDICAL DEVICES developed without applying a USABILITY ENGINEERING PROCESS are non-intuitive, difficult to learn and difficult to use. In addition, MEDICAL DEVICES developed without applying USABILITY ENGINEERING or developed with incomplete or inadequate application of USABILITY ENGINEERING can include design shortcomings that can lead to USE ERRORS, particularly with varied USERS and USE ENVIRONMENTS, which can lead to HARM.

As healthcare evolves, less skilled USERS including PATIENTS themselves are now using MEDICAL DEVICES and MEDICAL DEVICES are becoming more complicated. While MEDICAL DEVICES become increasingly sophisticated, they can be more likely to induce USE ERRORS. If not properly designed or safeguarded, MEDICAL DEVICES could contribute to HAZARDOUS SITUATIONS and can be a source of HARM. An appropriate-tailored investment in USABILITY ENGINEERING ensures that MEDICAL DEVICES will have acceptable RISK and USABILITY and that design shortcomings are identified and removed from the USER INTERFACE. Accordingly, this technical report emphasizes the importance of designing for USABILITY, with an emphasis placed on ensuring SAFETY.

Ascribing to this report helps MANUFACTURERS respond effectively to regulatory expectations that call for the application of USABILITY ENGINEERING during the MEDICAL DEVICE development PROCESS. It also helps MANUFACTURERS produce MEDICAL DEVICES that have well designed USER INTERFACES that satisfy USERS. As such, it can propel a MANUFACTURER beyond a common sense approach to USER INTERFACE design to an approach that fully embraces USABILITY ENGINEERING as an essential step toward design excellence. Other beneficiaries of this document's guidance include authorities having jurisdiction (AHJ) and MEDICAL DEVICE consumers who share a common interest in safe and effective MEDICAL DEVICES.

The guidance provided in this report applies to all MEDICAL DEVICES, including those used by laypersons and/or healthcare professionals; MEDICAL DEVICES that perform just one function and those that perform many functions; USER INTERFACES in the form of hardware, software, documentation, and packaging; MEDICAL DEVICES that fit in a pocket, sit on a table, ride on a cart, or fill a room; and MEDICAL DEVICES that require no prior operational knowledge or call for training before use. Accordingly, it applies to a pen injector, glucose meter, infusion pump, PATIENT monitor, anaesthesia workstation, and radiation therapy system, just to name a few MEDICAL DEVICES.

### **MEDICAL DEVICES -**

# Part 2: Guidance on the application of usability engineering to medical devices

### 1 Scope and purpose

## 1.1 Scope

This Part of IEC 62366, which is a Technical Report, contains background information and provides guidance that addresses specific areas that experience suggests can be helpful for those implementing a USABILITY ENGINEERING (HUMAN FACTORS ENGINEERING) PROCESS both as defined in IEC 62366-1:2015 and as supporting goals other than SAFETY. This technical report is not intended to be used for regulatory purposes. It contains no requirements and only provides guidance and tutorial information.

NOTE 1 SAFETY is freedom from unacceptable RISK, which is described in ISO 14971. Unacceptable RISK can arise from USE ERROR, which can lead to exposure to direct physical HAZARDS or to loss or degradation of clinical performance.

NOTE 2 The PROCESS for a MANUFACTURER to analyse, specify, develop and evaluate the USABILITY of a MEDICAL DEVICE, as it relates to SAFETY is found in IEC 62366-1:2015.

This technical report has two main themes:

- information about efficient ways to implement elements required by IEC 62366-1:2015;
   and
- additional information, in particular how USABILITY relates to attributes such as TASK EFFICIENCY and USER satisfaction, which can enhance a MEDICAL DEVICE'S commercial success.

This technical report discusses the business benefits of USABILITY ENGINEERING, the basics of applicable analysis and design techniques, MEDICAL DEVICE USABILITY EVALUATION approaches, efficient ways to address USABILITY ENGINEERING project implementation issues (e.g. integration into a quality management system) and provides a list of useful USABILITY ENGINEERING resources.

This technical report also can be useful for other healthcare products (e.g. drug packaging and drug LABELLING, drug-MEDICAL DEVICE combination products and health IT software).

#### 1.2 Purpose

The intent of this technical report is to provide guidance related to:

- the essential elements of a USABILITY ENGINEERING PROCESS as required by IEC 62366-1:2015, including:
  - USER research techniques,
  - analysis techniques,
  - design techniques, and
  - MEDICAL DEVICE USABILITY EVALUATION approaches (e.g. USABILITY TESTING);
- the planning and implementation of the USABILITY ENGINEERING PROCESS;
- the benefits of applying USABILITY ENGINEERING; and
- improve USER satisfaction.

This technical report is intended to be read in conjunction with IEC 62366-1:2015.

The intended reader for this technical report includes the people or organisations that are involved with *planning, funding, managing, and performing research,* design, evaluation *and regulatory-related activities* (i.e. approbation) related to MEDICAL DEVICES, including, but not limited to:

- company, department, project, and product managers;
- design and engineering professionals (e.g. human factors engineers, industrial designers, technical writers, information designers, software developers, mechanical engineers, electrical engineers, packaging engineers);
- · medical researchers and other interested clinicians;
- marketers and other business professionals in the MEDICAL DEVICE industry;
- quality or regulatory staffs of MEDICAL DEVICE MANUFACTURERS (for example, regulatory affairs, RISK MANAGEMENT or quality management roles); and
- writers of product standards.

This technical report is neither intended as the sole source of USABILITY ENGINEERING guidance for MEDICAL DEVICE MANUFACTURERS, nor a complete substitute for human factors expertise. Rather, it is intended to provide readers with a general understanding of how to perform USABILITY ENGINEERING in an economic manner. Readers are advised to supplement the knowledge they gain from this report with knowledge acquired from complementary documents including those specific to the MEDICAL DEVICE of interest. A list of useful USABILITY ENGINEERING resources and further readings is provided in Annex A.

This report does not address detailed USABILITY ENGINEERING design guidance or requirements, such as recommendations on the proper size of text on a computer screen, appropriate ways to arrange a workstation's displays and controls, or characteristics of an appropriate ALARM SIGNAL. Such information can be found in other documents, such as [1][2][3][4]1.

This technical report does not describe a specific set of USABILITY ENGINEERING activities that suit all design projects. Instead, it gives guidance for a general USABILITY ENGINEERING PROCESS requiring further shaping and tailoring to suit a given development project's needs. USABILITY ENGINEERING practice varies widely throughout the world and even within specific countries, companies, and company units. This variation is partly due to the diversity found among USABILITY ENGINEERING practitioners who can have a background in one or more of various professional fields, such as engineering, psychology, or design. Practice differences also exist due to the wide variety of MEDICAL DEVICES, which range from seemingly simple syringes to complex imaging systems, some of which are used in hospitals, clinics, and/or the home by various types of medical professionals as well as laypersons (e.g. PATIENTS and caregivers who take care of PATIENTS, such as a child or spouse).

#### 2 Normative references

The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this document and are indispensable for its application. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

NOTE Informative references are listed in the bibliography beginning on page 98.

IEC 62366-1:2015, Medical devices – Part 1: Application of usability engineering to medical devices

<sup>1</sup> Numbers in square brackets refer to the Bibliography.

ISO 14971:2007, Medical devices – Application of risk management to medical devices