

© Copyright SEK Svensk Elstandard. Reproduction in any form without permission is prohibited.

## Järnvägstillämpningar – Cybersäkerhet

*Railway applications –  
Cybersecurity  
(CENELEC Technical Specification 50701:2021)*

### Nationellt förord

En teknisk specifikation, TS, utarbetad inom CENELEC är avsedd att ge beskrivningar som kan stödja den inre marknadens utveckling, ge vägledning beträffande specifikationer eller provningsmetoder eller ge specifikationer för teknikområden under snabb utveckling. Ett förslag till europeisk standard, EN, som det inte varit möjligt att nå tillräcklig enighet kring, kan också fastställas som TS, för att användas på försök (som förstandard) och för att efter eventuella justeringar eller bearbetningar senare fastställas som EN. En teknisk specifikation har en giltighetstid som är begränsad till tre år, med möjlighet till förlängning med tre eller högst sex år.

---

ICS 35.030.00; 45.020.00

## *Standarder underlättar utvecklingen och höjer elsäkerheten*

Det finns många fördelar med att ha gemensamma tekniska regler för bl a mätning, säkerhet och provning och för utförande, skötsel och dokumentation av elprodukter och elanläggningar.

Genom att utforma sådana standarder blir säkerhetsfordringar tydliga och utvecklingskostnaderna rimliga samtidigt som marknadens acceptans för produkten eller tjänsten ökar.

Många standarder inom elområdet beskriver tekniska lösningar och metoder som åstadkommer den elsäkerhet som föreskrivs av svenska myndigheter och av EU.

## *SEK är Sveriges röst i standardiseringsarbetet inom elområdet*

SEK Svensk Elstandard svarar för standardiseringen inom elområdet i Sverige och samordnar svensk medverkan i internationell och europeisk standardisering. SEK är en ideell organisation med frivilligt deltagande från svenska myndigheter, företag och organisationer som vill medverka till och påverka utformningen av tekniska regler inom elektrotekniken.

SEK samordnar svenska intressenters medverkan i SEKs tekniska kommittéer och stödjer svenska experters medverkan i internationella och europeiska projekt.

## *Stora delar av arbetet sker internationellt*

Utformningen av standarder sker i allt väsentligt i internationellt och europeiskt samarbete. SEK är svensk nationalkommitté av International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) och Comité Européen de Normalisation Electrotechnique (CENELEC).

Standardiseringsarbetet inom SEK är organiserat i referensgrupper bestående av ett antal tekniska kommittéer som speglar hur arbetet inom IEC och CENELEC är organiserat.

Arbetet i de tekniska kommittéerna är öppet för alla svenska organisationer, företag, institutioner, myndigheter och statliga verk. Den årliga avgiften för deltagandet och intäkter från försäljning finansierar SEKs standardiseringsverksamhet och medlemsavgift till IEC och CENELEC.

## *Var med och påverka!*

Den som deltar i SEKs tekniska kommittéarbete har möjlighet att påverka framtida standarder och får tidig tillgång till information och dokumentation om utvecklingen inom sitt teknikområde. Arbetet och kontakterna med kollegor, kunder och konkurrenter kan gynnsamt påverka enskilda företags affärsutveckling och bidrar till deltagarnas egen kompetensutveckling.

Du som vill dra nytta av dessa möjligheter är välkommen att kontakta SEKs kansli för mer information.

### **SEK Svensk Elstandard**

Box 1284  
164 29 Kista  
Tel 08-444 14 00  
[www.elstandard.se](http://www.elstandard.se)

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION  
SPÉCIFICATION TECHNIQUE  
TECHNISCHE SPEZIFIKATION

CLC/TS 50701

July 2021

ICS 35.030; 45.020

English Version

Railway applications - Cybersecurity

Applications ferroviaires - Cybersécurité

Bahnanwendungen - IT-Sicherheit

This Technical Specification was approved by CENELEC on 2021-05-11.

CENELEC members are required to announce the existence of this TS in the same way as for an EN and to make the TS available promptly at national level in an appropriate form. It is permissible to keep conflicting national standards in force.

CENELEC members are the national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and the United Kingdom.



European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization  
Comité Européen de Normalisation Electrotechnique  
Europäisches Komitee für Elektrotechnische Normung

CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels

© 2021 CENELEC All rights of exploitation in any form and by any means reserved worldwide for CENELEC Members.

Ref. No. CLC/TS 50701:2021 E

## Contents

	Page
<b>European foreword .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Introduction .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>1 Scope .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>2 Normative references .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>3 Terms, definitions and abbreviations .....</b>	<b>8</b>
3.1 Terms and definitions .....	8
3.2 Abbreviations .....	24
<b>4 Railway system overview .....</b>	<b>26</b>
4.1 Introduction .....	26
4.2 Railway asset model .....	27
4.3 Railway physical architecture model .....	28
4.4 High-level railway zone model .....	29
<b>5 Cybersecurity within a railway application lifecycle .....</b>	<b>31</b>
5.1 Introduction .....	31
5.2 Railway application and product lifecycles .....	31
5.3 Activities, synchronization and deliverables .....	31
5.4 Cybersecurity context and cybersecurity management plan .....	35
5.5 Relationship between cybersecurity and essential functions .....	35
5.5.1 General .....	35
5.5.2 Defence in depth .....	35
5.5.3 Security-related application conditions .....	36
5.5.4 Interfaces between the safety and the cybersecurity processes .....	37
5.6 Cybersecurity assurance process .....	38
<b>6 System definition and initial risk assessment .....</b>	<b>39</b>
6.1 Introduction .....	39
6.2 Identification of the system under consideration .....	40
6.2.1 Definition of the SuC .....	40
6.2.2 Overall functional description .....	41
6.2.3 Access to the SuC .....	41
6.2.4 Essential functions .....	41
6.2.5 Assets supporting the essential functions .....	42
6.2.6 Threat landscape .....	42
6.3 Initial risk assessment .....	42
6.3.1 Impact assessment .....	42
6.3.2 Likelihood assessment .....	43
6.3.3 Risk evaluation .....	44
6.4 Partitioning of the SuC .....	45

6.4.1	Criteria for zones and conduits breakdown.....	45
6.4.2	Process for zones and conduits breakdown .....	45
6.5	Output and documentation .....	46
6.5.1	Description of the system under consideration .....	46
6.5.2	Documentation of the initial risk assessment.....	46
6.5.3	Definition of zones and conduits .....	46
<b>7</b>	<b>Detailed risk assessment .....</b>	<b>47</b>
7.1	General aspects .....	47
7.2	Establishment of cybersecurity requirements.....	48
7.2.1	General.....	48
7.2.2	Threat identification and vulnerability identification.....	49
7.2.3	Vulnerability identification.....	51
7.2.4	Risk acceptance principles.....	51
7.2.5	Derivation of SL-T by explicit risk evaluation .....	53
7.2.6	Determine initial SL .....	55
7.2.7	Determine countermeasures from EN IEC 62443-3-3 .....	56
7.2.8	Risk estimation and evaluation .....	56
7.2.9	Determine security level target.....	58
7.2.10	Cybersecurity requirements specification for zones and conduits .....	58
<b>8</b>	<b>Cybersecurity requirements .....</b>	<b>59</b>
8.1	Objectives .....	59
8.2	System security requirements .....	59
8.3	Apportionment of cybersecurity requirements .....	74
8.3.1	Objectives.....	74
8.3.2	Break down of system requirements to subsystem level .....	75
8.3.3	System requirement allocation at component level .....	75
8.3.4	Specific consideration for implementation of cybersecurity requirement on components .....	76
8.3.5	Requirement breakdown structure as verification.....	76
8.3.6	Compensating countermeasures .....	77
<b>9</b>	<b>Cybersecurity assurance and system acceptance for operation .....</b>	<b>78</b>
9.1	Overview .....	78
9.2	Cybersecurity case .....	79
9.3	Cybersecurity verification.....	80
9.3.1	General.....	80
9.3.2	Cybersecurity integration and verification .....	80
9.3.3	Assessment of results .....	82
9.4	Cybersecurity validation.....	82
9.5	Cybersecurity system acceptance .....	83
9.5.1	Independence.....	83
9.5.2	Objectives.....	83
9.5.3	Activities .....	83

9.5.4 Cybersecurity handover .....	83
<b>10 Operational, maintenance and disposal requirements .....</b>	<b>83</b>
10.1 Introduction .....	83
10.2 Vulnerability management .....	84
10.3 Security patch management .....	85
10.3.1 General .....	85
10.3.2 Patching systems while ensuring operational requirements .....	86
<b>Annex A (informative) Handling conduits.....</b>	<b>89</b>
<b>Annex B (informative) Handling legacy systems .....</b>	<b>92</b>
<b>Annex C (informative) Cybersecurity design principles .....</b>	<b>98</b>
<b>Annex D (informative) Safety and security .....</b>	<b>127</b>
<b>Annex E (informative) Risk acceptance methods .....</b>	<b>131</b>
<b>Annex F (informative) Railway architecture and zoning .....</b>	<b>140</b>
<b>Annex G (informative) Cybersecurity deliverables content.....</b>	<b>158</b>
<b>Bibliography .....</b>	<b>161</b>

## Figures

<b>Figure 1 — Segregation of IT and OT .....</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>Figure 2 — Railway asset model (example).....</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>Figure 3 — Railway physical architecture model (example).....</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>Figure 4 — Generic high-level railway zone model (example).....</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>Figure 5 — Defence in depth with example of measures .....</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>Figure 6 — Relationship TRA and SA.....</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>Figure 7 — Initial risk assessment flowchart .....</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>Figure 8 — Detailed risk assessment flowchart.....</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>Figure 9 — Explicit risk evaluation flowchart .....</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>Figure 10 — Handling of SL-C .....</b>	<b>77</b>
<b>Figure 11 — Cybersecurity assurance .....</b>	<b>78</b>
<b>Figure 12 — Cybersecurity case concept .....</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>Figure 13 — Cybersecurity assurance during integration and validation activities .....</b>	<b>81</b>
<b>Figure 14 — General vulnerability handling flowchart .....</b>	<b>85</b>
<b>Figure 15 — Vulnerability and outage time during system update (maintenance phase) [example] .....</b>	<b>87</b>
<b>Figure 16 — Vulnerability and outage time during system update with observation phases [example] .....</b>	<b>88</b>
<b>Figure A.1 — Zones and conduits example .....</b>	<b>90</b>
<b>Figure D.1 — Security as an environmental condition for safety.....</b>	<b>128</b>
<b>Figure F.1 — Adopted generic high-level railway zone model (example) .....</b>	<b>148</b>
<b>Figure F.2 — Example of a railway system zone model .....</b>	<b>149</b>

**Tables**

<b>Table 1 — Security-related activities within a railway application lifecycle (EN 50126-1) .....</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>Table 2 — Examples of function related supporting assets in regard to the defence in depth layers .....</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>Table 3 — Qualitative Impact Assessment example.....</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>Table 4 — Likelihood assessment matrix – Example .....</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>Table 5 — Risk matrix example.....</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>Table 6 — System Security Requirements and Foundational Classes .....</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>Table E.1 — Risk acceptance categories acc. EN 50126-1 .....</b>	<b>131</b>
<b>Table E.2 — Mapping severity categories acc. EN 50126-1 to cybersecurity severity .....</b>	<b>132</b>
<b>Table E.3 — Likelihood assessment criteria .....</b>	<b>132</b>
<b>Table E.4 — Mapping Likelihood to accessibility and Probability .....</b>	<b>133</b>
<b>Table E.5 — Impact assessment matrix – Example 2 .....</b>	<b>134</b>
<b>Table E.6 — Likelihood assessment matrix – Example 2.....</b>	<b>135</b>
<b>Table E.7 — Risk acceptance matrix – Example 2 .....</b>	<b>136</b>
<b>Table E.8 — Impact assessment matrix – Example 3 .....</b>	<b>137</b>
<b>Table E.9 — Likelihood assessment matrix – Example 3.....</b>	<b>138</b>
<b>Table E.10 — Likelihood conversion table – Example 3 .....</b>	<b>138</b>
<b>Table E.11 — Risk acceptance matrix – Example 3 .....</b>	<b>138</b>
<b>Table E.12 — Risk Severity / Mitigation matrix – Example 3.....</b>	<b>139</b>
<b>Table F.1 — Railway system glossary.....</b>	<b>140</b>
<b>Table F.2 — Example – Evaluating groups of criticalities for landside-landsde communication .....</b>	<b>143</b>
<b>Table F.3 — Example – Zone criticality definition for landside-landsde communication.....</b>	<b>144</b>
<b>Table F.4 — Example – Landside-landsde communication matrix basic structure .....</b>	<b>145</b>
<b>Table F.5 — Example – Communication matrix - landside to landside .....</b>	<b>146</b>
<b>Table F.6 — Example – Rolling stock zone model.....</b>	<b>150</b>
<b>Table F.7 — Example – Communication matrix - rolling stock to rolling stock.....</b>	<b>151</b>
<b>Table F.8 — Example – Communication matrix - landside to rolling stock .....</b>	<b>154</b>
<b>Table F.9 — Example – Communication matrix - rolling stock to landside .....</b>	<b>155</b>

## European foreword

This document (CLC/TS 50701:2021) has been prepared by CLC/TC 9X “Electrical and electronic applications for railways”.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CENELEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any feedback and questions on this document should be directed to the users' national committee. A complete listing of these bodies can be found on the CENELEC website.

## Introduction

The aim of this document is to introduce the requirements as well as recommendations to address cybersecurity within the railway sector.

Due to digitization and the need for more performance and better maintainability, previously isolated industrial systems are now connected to large networks and increasingly use standard protocols and commercial components. Because of this evolution, cybersecurity becomes a key topic for these industrial systems, including critical systems such as railway systems.

The purpose of this document is that, when a railway system is compliant to this document, it can be demonstrated that this system is at the state of the art in terms of cybersecurity, that it fulfils its targeted Security Level and that its security is maintained during its operation and maintenance.

This document intends to:

- provide requirements and guidance on cybersecurity activities and deliverables
- be adaptable and applicable to various system lifecycles
- be applicable for both safety and non-safety related systems
- identify interfaces between cybersecurity and other disciplines contributing to railway system lifecycles
- be compatible and consistent with EN 50126-1 when it is applied to the system under consideration
- due to lifecycle differences between safety and cybersecurity, separate safety approval and cybersecurity acceptance as much as possible
- identify the key synchronization points related to cybersecurity between system integrator and asset owner
- provide harmonized and standardized way to express technical cybersecurity requirements
- provide cybersecurity design principles promoting simple and modular systems
- allow the usage of market products such as industrial COTS compliant with the 62443 series.

## 1 Scope

This document provides the railway operators, system integrators and product suppliers, with guidance and specifications on how cybersecurity will be managed in the context of EN 50126-1 RAMS lifecycle process. This document aims at the implementation of a consistent approach to the management of the security of the railway systems. This document can also be applied to the security assurance of systems and components/equipment developed independently of EN 50126-1:2017.

This document applies to Communications, Signalling and Processing domain, to Rolling Stock and to Fixed Installations domains. It provides references to models and concepts from which requirements and recommendations can be derived and that are suitable to ensure that the residual risk from security threats is identified, supervised and managed to an acceptable level by the railway system duty holder. It presents the underlying security assumptions in a structured manner.

This document does not address functional safety requirements for railway systems but rather additional requirements arising from threats and related security vulnerabilities and for which specific measures and activities need to be taken and managed throughout the lifecycle. The aim of this document is to ensure that the RAMS characteristics of railway systems / subsystems / equipment cannot be reduced, lost or compromised in the case of intentional attacks.

The security models, the concepts and the risk assessment process described in this document are based on or derived from IEC/EN IEC 62443 series standards. This document is consistent with the application of security management requirements contained within IEC 62443-2-1 which in turn are based on EN ISO/IEC 27001 and EN ISO 27002.

## 2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

EN 50126-1:2017, *Railway Applications - The Specification and Demonstration of Reliability, Availability, Maintainability and Safety (RAMS) - Part 1: Generic RAMS Process*

EN IEC 62443-3-2:2020, *Security for industrial automation and control systems - Part 3-2: Security risk assessment for system design*

EN IEC 62443-3-3:2019<sup>1</sup>, *Industrial communication networks - Network and system security - Part 3-3: System security requirements and security levels*

IEC 62443-2-1:2010, *Industrial communication networks - Network and system security - Part 2-1: Establishing an industrial automation and control system security program*

---

<sup>1</sup> Document impacted by EN IEC 62443-3-3:2019/AC:2019-10.