

© Copyright SEK Svensk Elstandard. Reproduction in any form without permission is prohibited.

Tillförlitlighetsprovning – Kontroll av konstant felbenägenhet och konstant felintensitet

Reliability testing –

Compliance tests for constant failure rate and constant failure intensity

Som svensk standard gäller europastandarden EN IEC 61124:2023. Den svenska standarden innehåller den officiella engelska språkversionen av EN IEC 61124:2023.

Nationellt förord

Europastandarden EN IEC 61124:2023

består av:

- **europastandardens ikraftsättningsdokument**, utarbetat inom CENELEC
- **IEC 61124, Fourth edition, 2023 - Reliability testing – Compliance tests for constant failure rate and constant failure intensity**

utarbetad inom International Electrotechnical Commission, IEC.

Tidigare fastställd svensk standard SS-EN 61124, utg 2:2012 med eventuella tillägg, ändringar och rättelser gäller ej fr o m 2026-03-31.

ICS 03.120.30; 19.020.00; 21.020.00

Denna standard är fastställd av SEK Svensk Elstandard, som också kan lämna upplysningar om **sakinnehållet** i standarden.
Postadress: Box 1284, 164 29 KISTA
Telefon: 08 - 444 14 00.
E-post: sek@elstandard.se. Internet: www.elstandard.se

Standarder underlättar utvecklingen och höjer elsäkerheten

Det finns många fördelar med att ha gemensamma tekniska regler för bl a mätning, säkerhet och provning och för utförande, skötsel och dokumentation av elprodukter och elanläggningar.

Genom att utforma sådana standarder blir säkerhetsfordringar tydliga och utvecklingskostnaderna rimliga samtidigt som marknadens acceptans för produkten eller tjänsten ökar.

Många standarder inom elområdet beskriver tekniska lösningar och metoder som åstadkommer den elsäkerhet som föreskrivs av svenska myndigheter och av EU.

SEK är Sveriges röst i standardiseringsarbetet inom elområdet

SEK Svensk Elstandard svarar för standardiseringen inom elområdet i Sverige och samordnar svensk medverkan i internationell och europeisk standardisering. SEK är en ideell organisation med frivilligt deltagande från svenska myndigheter, företag och organisationer som vill medverka till och påverka utformningen av tekniska regler inom elektrotekniken.

SEK samordnar svenska intressenters medverkan i SEKs tekniska kommittéer och stödjer svenska experters medverkan i internationella och europeiska projekt.

Stora delar av arbetet sker internationellt

Utformningen av standarder sker i allt väsentligt i internationellt och europeiskt samarbete. SEK är svensk nationalkommitté av International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) och Comité Européen de Normalisation Electrotechnique (CENELEC).

Standardiseringsarbetet inom SEK är organiserat i referensgrupper bestående av ett antal tekniska kommittéer som speglar hur arbetet inom IEC och CENELEC är organiserat.

Arbetet i de tekniska kommittéerna är öppet för alla svenska organisationer, företag, institutioner, myndigheter och statliga verk. Den årliga avgiften för deltagandet och intäkter från försäljning finansierar SEKs standardiseringsverksamhet och medlemsavgift till IEC och CENELEC.

Var med och påverka!

Den som deltar i SEKs tekniska kommittéarbete har möjlighet att påverka framtida standarder och får tidig tillgång till information och dokumentation om utvecklingen inom sitt teknikområde. Arbetet och kontakterna med kollegor, kunder och konkurrenter kan gynnsamt påverka enskilda företags affärsutveckling och bidrar till deltagarnas egen kompetensutveckling.

Du som vill dra nytta av dessa möjligheter är välkommen att kontakta SEKs kansli för mer information.

SEK Svensk Elstandard

Box 1284
164 29 Kista
Tel 08-444 14 00
www.elstandard.se

English Version

Reliability testing - Compliance tests for constant failure rate and
constant failure intensity
(IEC 61124:2023)

Essais de fiabilité - Plans d'essai de conformité pour un
taux de défaillance constant et une intensité de défaillance
constante
(IEC 61124:2023)

Prüfungen der Funktionsfähigkeit - Prüfpläne für konstante
Ausfallrate und konstante Ausfalldichte
(IEC 61124:2023)

This European Standard was approved by CENELEC on 2023-03-31. CENELEC members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration.

Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre or to any CENELEC member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CENELEC member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CENELEC members are the national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Türkiye and the United Kingdom.



European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization
Comité Européen de Normalisation Electrotechnique
Europäisches Komitee für Elektrotechnische Normung

CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels

European foreword

The text of document 56/1980/FDIS, future edition 4 of IEC 61124, prepared by IEC/TC 56 "Dependability" was submitted to the IEC-CENELEC parallel vote and approved by CENELEC as EN IEC 61124:2023.

The following dates are fixed:

- latest date by which the document has to be implemented at national level by publication of an identical national standard or by endorsement (dop) 2023-12-31
- latest date by which the national standards conflicting with the document have to be withdrawn (dow) 2026-03-31

This document supersedes EN 61124:2012 and all of its amendments and corrigenda (if any).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CENELEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any feedback and questions on this document should be directed to the users' national committee. A complete listing of these bodies can be found on the CENELEC website.

Endorsement notice

The text of the International Standard IEC 61124:2023 was approved by CENELEC as a European Standard without any modification.

In the official version, for Bibliography, the following notes have to be added for the standard indicated:

| | | |
|-----------|------|----------------------|
| IEC 62506 | NOTE | Approved as EN 62506 |
| IEC 61014 | NOTE | Approved as EN 61014 |
| IEC 61710 | NOTE | Approved as EN 61710 |
| IEC 61649 | NOTE | Approved as EN 61649 |

Annex ZA (normative)

Normative references to international publications with their corresponding European publications

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

NOTE 1 Where an International Publication has been modified by common modifications, indicated by (mod), the relevant EN/HD applies.

NOTE 2 Up-to-date information on the latest versions of the European Standards listed in this annex is available here: www.cenelec.eu.

| <u>Publication</u> | <u>Year</u> | <u>Title</u> | <u>EN/HD</u> | <u>Year</u> |
|--------------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| IEC 60050-192 | - | International electrotechnical vocabulary - Part 192: Dependability | - | - |
| IEC 60300-3-5 | 2001 | Dependability management - Part 3-5: Application guide - Reliability test conditions and statistical test principles | - | - |
| IEC 60605-2 | - | Equipment reliability testing - Part 2: Design of test cycles | - | - |
| IEC 60605-4 | 2001 | Equipment reliability testing - Part 4: Statistical procedures for exponential distribution - Point estimates, confidence intervals, prediction intervals and tolerance intervals | - | - |
| IEC 60605-6 | - | Equipment reliability testing - Part 6: Tests for the validity and estimation of the constant failure rate and constant failure intensity | - | - |
| IEC 61123 | 2019 | Reliability testing - Compliance test plans for success ratio | EN IEC 61123 | 2020 |

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

NORME INTERNATIONALE



Reliability testing – Compliance tests for constant failure rate and constant failure intensity

Essais de fiabilité – Plans d’essai de conformité pour un taux de défaillance constant et une intensité de défaillance constante

INTERNATIONAL
ELECTROTECHNICAL
COMMISSION

COMMISSION
ELECTROTECHNIQUE
INTERNATIONALE

ICS 03.120.30; 19.020; 21.020

ISBN 978-2-8322-6453-9

| |
|--|
| <p>Warning! Make sure that you obtained this publication from an authorized distributor.</p> <p>Attention! Veuillez vous assurer que vous avez obtenu cette publication via un distributeur agréé.</p> |
|--|

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|----|
| FOREWORD..... | 6 |
| INTRODUCTION..... | 8 |
| 1 Scope..... | 10 |
| 2 Normative references | 10 |
| 3 Terms, definitions, abbreviated terms and symbols..... | 11 |
| 3.1 Terms and definitions..... | 11 |
| 3.2 Abbreviated terms and symbols | 11 |
| 3.2.1 Abbreviated terms | 11 |
| 3.2.2 Symbols | 11 |
| 4 General requirements and area of application..... | 13 |
| 4.1 Requirements and characteristics | 13 |
| 4.2 Applicability to replaced and repaired items | 13 |
| 4.3 Types of test plans..... | 14 |
| 4.3.1 General | 14 |
| 4.3.2 Advantages and disadvantages of the different test plan types | 14 |
| 5 General test procedure | 16 |
| 5.1 Test conditions | 16 |
| 5.2 General characteristics of the test plans | 17 |
| 5.3 Data to be recorded | 17 |
| 5.4 Calculation of accumulated test time, T^* | 17 |
| 5.5 Number of failures | 18 |
| 6 Truncated sequential probability ratio test (SPRT) plans..... | 18 |
| 6.1 General..... | 18 |
| 6.2 Common test procedure..... | 19 |
| 6.3 Decision criteria | 19 |
| 6.4 Operating characteristic (OC) curve | 20 |
| 6.5 Expected accumulated test time to decision (ETT) | 21 |
| 6.6 Overview of test plans..... | 22 |
| 7 Fixed time/failure terminated test plans – Fixed duration (to acceptance) test plans | 25 |
| 7.1 General..... | 25 |
| 7.2 Common test procedure..... | 26 |
| 7.3 Decision criteria | 26 |
| 7.4 Test plans | 26 |
| 8 Design of alternative time/failure terminated test plans (FTFT) | 27 |
| 8.1 General..... | 27 |
| 8.2 Design procedures | 27 |
| 8.3 Common FTFT procedure | 28 |
| 8.4 Decision criteria | 28 |
| 9 Calendar time/failure terminated test plans (FTFT) for non-replaced items | 28 |
| 9.1 General..... | 28 |
| 9.2 Common test procedure..... | 29 |
| 9.3 Decision criteria | 29 |
| 9.4 Use of IEC 61123:2019, Table 5 for fixed calendar time tests | 29 |
| 9.4.1 General | 29 |
| 9.4.2 Procedure when the test time is given | 30 |

| | | |
|---------|---|----|
| 9.4.3 | Procedure when the number of items is given | 30 |
| 10 | Combined test plans | 30 |
| 10.1 | General | 30 |
| 10.2 | Common test procedure | 30 |
| 10.3 | Decision criteria | 31 |
| 10.4 | Test plans | 31 |
| 11 | Performing the test and presenting the results | 32 |
| Annex A | (normative) Tables for border lines of SPRT plans (types A and C) | 33 |
| A.1 | Symbols | 33 |
| A.2 | Border lines | 33 |
| A.3 | Example of the SPRT plan from Clause 6 | 37 |
| Annex B | (normative) Tables and graphs for combined test plans (type D) | 39 |
| B.1 | General | 39 |
| B.2 | Test plans D.3 and C.3 ($\alpha = \beta = 10\%$, $D = 1,7$) | 41 |
| Annex C | (informative) Extension of the set of SPRTs type A | 44 |
| C.1 | Symbols | 44 |
| C.2 | Extension of the set of type A tests (through interpolation by α and β) | 44 |
| Annex D | (informative) Approximation of operating characteristic for type A SPRTs by Wald's formula | 47 |
| D.1 | Symbols | 47 |
| D.2 | Approximations of OC in this document | 47 |
| D.3 | Approximation of OC for type A SPRT by Wald's formula | 47 |
| D.4 | Construction of the approximated OC curve using a spreadsheet | 49 |
| Annex E | (informative) Mathematical references and examples for fixed time/failure terminated test (FTFT) plans | 51 |
| E.1 | Symbols | 51 |
| E.2 | Mathematical references | 51 |
| E.2.1 | General | 51 |
| E.2.2 | Mathematical references | 51 |
| E.2.3 | Design procedure {a} | 54 |
| E.2.4 | Design procedure {b} | 55 |
| E.2.5 | Design procedure {c} | 55 |
| E.2.6 | Design procedure {d} | 56 |
| E.3 | Examples of FTFT design using test plans B | 56 |
| E.3.1 | Example 1 | 56 |
| E.3.2 | Example 2 | 57 |
| E.4 | Test OC approximation using formula for FTFT | 58 |
| Annex F | (informative) Examples of FTFT design using a spreadsheet program | 59 |
| F.1 | General | 59 |
| F.2 | Finding the test border lines using optimization on the example of the design procedure {b} | 61 |
| F.3 | ETT and OC curves | 63 |
| F.4 | Example of FTFT design by procedure {a} | 65 |
| F.5 | Example of FTFT design by procedure {c} | 67 |
| F.6 | Example of FTFT design by procedure {d} | 69 |
| F.7 | Example of a test with replacement of failed items | 72 |
| F.8 | Evaluation of an approximate OC for non-FTFT plans using a spreadsheet | 73 |
| Annex G | (informative) Examples and mathematical references for the calendar time terminated test plans | 78 |

| | | |
|--|--|----|
| G.1 | Examples | 78 |
| G.1.1 | Example 1 | 78 |
| G.1.2 | Example 2 | 78 |
| G.2 | Mathematical background | 79 |
| Annex H (informative) Derivation and mathematical reference for the optimized test plans of GOST R 27 402 [12] | | 80 |
| H.1 | Symbols | 80 |
| H.2 | Test plan types and terminology | 81 |
| H.3 | Introductory remarks | 81 |
| H.4 | Procedure used for developing the optimized test plans | 82 |
| Bibliography | | 89 |
| | | |
| Figure 1 – Relative ETT (T_e^*/m_0) and MaxTT (T_t^*/m_0) of various tests with the same risks | | 16 |
| Figure 2 – SPRT diagram and test example | | 20 |
| Figure 3 – OC curve, P_a | | 21 |
| Figure 4 – SPRT – Curve of expected accumulated test time to decision (ETT) | | 22 |
| Figure 5 – Example of a decision graph for combined test plan (type D) and for SPRT type C | | 31 |
| Figure A.1 – Decision graph of SPRT plan | | 34 |
| Figure B.1 – Expected accumulated test time to acceptance decision, $T_e^*(+)$ for D.3 and C.3 test plans | | 43 |
| Figure B.2 – Operating characteristic P_a for D.3 and C.3 test plans | | 43 |
| Figure D.1 – Approximation of OC for type A SPRT using Wald's formula | | 48 |
| Figure E.1 – Example 1 – Expected accumulated test time to decision (ETT) of tests B.2 and A.25 | | 57 |
| Figure E.2 – Example 1 – Operating characteristic of tests B.2 and A.25 | | 58 |
| Figure F.1 – Using Solver to find T_t^*/m_0 – Accumulated test truncation time in terms of m_0 | | 63 |
| Figure F.2 – ETT plotted from the spreadsheet calculations | | 64 |
| Figure F.3 – OC curve plotted from the spreadsheet calculations | | 64 |
| Figure F.4 – Using Solver to find T_t^*/m_0 and c in Step {a1} | | 66 |
| Figure F.5 – Using Solver to find T_t^*/m_0 in Step {a2} | | 67 |
| Figure F.6 – Using Solver to find T_t^*/m_0 in Step {c2} | | 69 |
| Figure F.7 – Using Solver to find D and c in Step {d1} | | 71 |
| Figure F.8 – Using Solver to find D and T_t^* in Step {d2} | | 72 |
| Figure F.9 – Using Solver to find c and T_t^*/m_0 from Clause F.8 | | 75 |
| Figure F.10 – OC approximated by formula for FTFT (example from Clause F.8) | | 77 |
| Figure H.1 – Test plan types and terminology | | 81 |
| Figure H.2 – Principle of test plans | | 83 |
| Figure H.3 – Partitioning of the test plan graph | | 83 |
| Figure H.4 – Interior nodes and border nodes | | 83 |
| Figure H.5 – Paths to the accept line | | 84 |

| | |
|--|----|
| Figure H.6 – Paths to the reject line | 84 |
| Figure H.7 – Probabilities of paths transfer between nodes | 85 |
| Figure H.8 – Recurrent element – Two cases | 88 |
| Table 1 – Advantages and disadvantages for the different test plan types | 15 |
| Table 2 – OC curve | 20 |
| Table 3 – Relative ETT versus m/m_0 | 21 |
| Table 4 – Overview of type A SPRT plans | 23 |
| Table 5 – Overview of type C SPRT plans | 25 |
| Table 6 – Type B FTFT plans | 27 |
| Table 7 – Overview of type D combined plans | 32 |
| Table A.1 – Constants for border line formulae and their coordinates for type A SPRT plans | 35 |
| Table A.2 – Constants for border line formulae and their coordinates for type C SPRT plans | 36 |
| Table A.3 – Example for SPRT using test plan A.41 (with example data) | 38 |
| Table B.1 – Combined test plans in Annex B | 39 |
| Table B.2 – Type D test plans – Accept and reject lines | 40 |
| Table B.3 – Expected accumulated test time to acceptance decision, $T_e^*(+)$, for D and C test plans | 41 |
| Table B.4 – Accept and reject lines for D.3 and C.3 test plans | 42 |
| Table C.1 – Example for interpolation by α and β | 46 |
| Table D.1 – Spreadsheet set-up for construction of the OC curve by Wald | 50 |
| Table D.2 – Formulae embedded in the spreadsheet | 50 |
| Table E.1 – List of the typical FTFT design procedures | 54 |
| Table F.1 – Set-up of the spreadsheet with embedded formulae | 60 |
| Table F.2 – Formulae embedded in the spreadsheet | 61 |
| Table F.3 – Fragment from Table 6 | 62 |
| Table F.4 – Set-up 1 of the spreadsheet for example by procedure {a} | 65 |
| Table F.5 – Set-up 2 of the spreadsheet for example by procedure {a} | 66 |
| Table F.6 – Set-up 3 (final solution) for example by procedure {a} | 67 |
| Table F.7 – Set-up 2 for example by procedure {c} | 68 |
| Table F.8 – Set-up 3 (final solution) for example by procedure {c} | 69 |
| Table F.9 – Set-up 1 of the spreadsheet for example by procedure {d} | 70 |
| Table F.10 – Set-up 2 of the spreadsheet for example by procedure {d} | 71 |
| Table F.11 – Set-up 3 (final solution) for example by procedure {d} | 72 |
| Table F.12 – Set-up of the spreadsheet with embedded formulae from Clause F.8 | 74 |
| Table F.13 – Set-up 1 of the spreadsheet from Clause F.8 | 75 |
| Table F.14 – Set-up 2 of the spreadsheet for example from Clause F.8 | 76 |

INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

**RELIABILITY TESTING –
COMPLIANCE TESTS FOR CONSTANT FAILURE RATE
AND CONSTANT FAILURE INTENSITY****FOREWORD**

- 1) The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is a worldwide organization for standardization comprising all national electrotechnical committees (IEC National Committees). The object of IEC is to promote international co-operation on all questions concerning standardization in the electrical and electronic fields. To this end and in addition to other activities, IEC publishes International Standards, Technical Specifications, Technical Reports, Publicly Available Specifications (PAS) and Guides (hereafter referred to as "IEC Publication(s)"). Their preparation is entrusted to technical committees; any IEC National Committee interested in the subject dealt with may participate in this preparatory work. International, governmental and non-governmental organizations liaising with the IEC also participate in this preparation. IEC collaborates closely with the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) in accordance with conditions determined by agreement between the two organizations.
- 2) The formal decisions or agreements of IEC on technical matters express, as nearly as possible, an international consensus of opinion on the relevant subjects since each technical committee has representation from all interested IEC National Committees.
- 3) IEC Publications have the form of recommendations for international use and are accepted by IEC National Committees in that sense. While all reasonable efforts are made to ensure that the technical content of IEC Publications is accurate, IEC cannot be held responsible for the way in which they are used or for any misinterpretation by any end user.
- 4) In order to promote international uniformity, IEC National Committees undertake to apply IEC Publications transparently to the maximum extent possible in their national and regional publications. Any divergence between any IEC Publication and the corresponding national or regional publication shall be clearly indicated in the latter.
- 5) IEC itself does not provide any attestation of conformity. Independent certification bodies provide conformity assessment services and, in some areas, access to IEC marks of conformity. IEC is not responsible for any services carried out by independent certification bodies.
- 6) All users should ensure that they have the latest edition of this publication.
- 7) No liability shall attach to IEC or its directors, employees, servants or agents including individual experts and members of its technical committees and IEC National Committees for any personal injury, property damage or other damage of any nature whatsoever, whether direct or indirect, or for costs (including legal fees) and expenses arising out of the publication, use of, or reliance upon, this IEC Publication or any other IEC Publications.
- 8) Attention is drawn to the Normative references cited in this publication. Use of the referenced publications is indispensable for the correct application of this publication.
- 9) Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this IEC Publication may be the subject of patent rights. IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

IEC 61124 has been prepared by IEC technical committee 56: Dependability. It is an International Standard.

This fourth edition cancels and replaces the third edition published in 2012. This edition constitutes a technical revision.

This edition includes the following significant technical changes with respect to the previous edition:

- a) The truncated sequential probability ratio test (SPRT) [1], [2], [3]¹ has been significantly developed in recent years [4], [5], [6]. In this edition, type A test plans (optimally truncated SPRT) have been significantly changed, as follows:

¹ Numbers in square brackets refer to the Bibliography.

- the tests are significantly truncated (the maximal test time is low) without substantially increasing the expected accumulated test time to decision (ETT);
 - the true producer's and consumer's risks (α' , β') are given and are very close to the nominal values;
 - the range of the test parameters is wide (risks and discrimination ratio);
 - the test plans include various risk ratios (not restricted to equal risks only);
 - the values of the ETT are accurate and given in the relevant region (for practical use);
 - guidelines for extension of the tests set (using accurate interpolation) are included.
- b) Other ready-to-use test plans (types B, C, D) are not changed, only the form of presentation of the data on their border lines and the characteristics has been changed. This form is made unified for all types of test plans, which helps the comparison of different plans and, accordingly, to facilitate the selection of the most appropriate.
- c) FTFT design procedures, to extend the set of test plans B, are significantly changed and make the design accurate and simple. The implementation of this design is given on a spreadsheet program. A unified approach to the calculation of the operational characteristics of all types of test plans is introduced.

The text of this International Standard is based on the following documents:

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| Draft | Report on voting |
| 56/1980/FDIS | 56/1985/RVD |

Full information on the voting for its approval can be found in the report on voting indicated in the above table.

The language used for the development of this International Standard is English.

This document was drafted in accordance with ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2, and developed in accordance with ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1 and ISO/IEC Directives, IEC Supplement, available at www.iec.ch/members_experts/refdocs. The main document types developed by IEC are described in greater detail at www.iec.ch/standardsdev/publications.

The committee has decided that the contents of this document will remain unchanged until the stability date indicated on the IEC website under webstore.iec.ch in the data related to the specific document. At this date, the document will be

- reconfirmed,
- withdrawn,
- replaced by a revised edition, or
- amended.

IMPORTANT – The "colour inside" logo on the cover page of this document indicates that it contains colours which are considered to be useful for the correct understanding of its contents. Users should therefore print this document using a colour printer.

INTRODUCTION

A compliance test is an essential part of the reliability assurance system [7], [8], [9]. Reliability is affected by many random factors, so its prediction is not accurate. The direct way to check if the item meets its reliability specifications is to perform a compliance test.

The tests described in this document can be applied to items that have a failure rate or failure intensity (denoted by λ) which can be considered as a constant. The procedures are based on the assumption that trials of the test are statistically independent. If it is necessary to test the constant failure rate and constant failure intensity assumption, the procedures given in IEC 60605-6 should be used.

The test serves to verify the compliance with a specified λ_0 , that is, to verify that $\lambda \leq \lambda_0$.

The probability of making the correct decision in the test depends on the test duration and on the sample size (number of failures). The tests usually require a large sample size and, accordingly, a large consumption of time and funds. The consumptions are especially high for reliability testing. For this reason, sampling plans of the tests should be carefully planned in order to reduce the consumption.

This document is dedicated to sampling plans for the tests.

The tests are characterized by the operating characteristic (OC) and test duration until the test stops with the accept/reject decision on the compliance.

OC is the probability of accepting an item as meeting the requirements. In this document, the OC is represented by the coordinates of its two points (see ISO 3534-2 [10]):

- $(\lambda_0, 1 - \alpha)$ are the coordinates of the producer's risk point (PRP);
- (λ_1, β) are the coordinates of the consumer's risk point (CRP);

where α and β are producer's and consumer's risks, and $\lambda_1 > \lambda_0$.

The test duration (test time) is a random value and in this document is usually characterized by its expected (ETT) and maximum (MaxTT) values.

This document contains the following types of tests:

- optimally truncated sequential probability ratio test (SPRT, type A);
- maximally truncated SPRT (type C);
- fixed time/failure terminated test (FTFT, type B);
- FTFT – calendar time terminated test without replacement;
- combined test plan (type D).

The tests can be used for testing equipment (repaired or non-repaired) as well as for components (replaced or not replaced when failing).

All the plans in this document are sequential, that is, every time an event occurs during the test, a decision is made to continue or stop the test. An event occurs in two cases: when a failure occurs, or when the acceptance boundary is crossed, which means that there is compliance with the requirements. The decision can be one of three types:

- accept the compliance and stop the test;
- reject the compliance and stop the test;
- continue the test, because there is not enough information to stop it.

The difference between the types of tests is in the shape of border lines.

The FTFT is characterized by decision rules for accepting or rejecting compliance when the MaxTT has been reached, or the acceptable number of failures has been exceeded. This test has the smallest MaxTT among all tests with specified PRP and CRP. If, for a tested item $\lambda \leq \lambda_0$, then ETT is close to MaxTT; otherwise, if $\lambda > \lambda_0$, then ETT decreases. In fact, the only advantage of the FTFT over the SPRT is the simplicity of designing new test plans. A detailed procedure for the design is provided in this document.

The optimally truncated SPRT (type A) has a MaxTT of 1,1 to 1,2 times greater than the FTFT with the same PRP and CRP. However, the ETT of the SPRT is significantly smaller than that of the corresponding FTFT, and for $\lambda \leq \lambda_0$ it can be 1,4 to 1,8 times smaller. This is a great advantage of the SPRT. This document contains an extensive set of ready-to-use type A plans. The set also allows the design of additional tests by simple interpolation according to the procedure provided in this document.

The maximally truncated SPRT (type C) has a MaxTT, like the FTFT; however, its ETT is less than that of the FTFT, but greater than that of the type A SPRT.

In the combined test plan (type D), test items with early failures will not be rejected in the initial stages of the test.

Some of the ready-to-use tests listed in this document have a very large maximal acceptable number of failures, which is why they are likely to be rarely used. However, the data allows the user of this document to assess the economic benefit of the OC test requirements and, in general, to assess the advisability of performing the test.

Accumulated test time can be reduced by accelerated testing (see IEC 62506 [11]).

An example of objects covered by this document can be electronic equipment and its components, which usually have a failure rate or failure intensity that can be considered constant.

Clause 4 presents the requirements and area of application of the tests and recommendations for their selection. Clause 5 explains the general elements of the test procedure. Clause 6 explains the characteristics of the ready-to-use SPRT and the parameters of the border lines (their values are given in Annex A). Extension of the set of SPRT tests are given in Annex C. Clause 7 is devoted to the ready-to-use FTFT. Clause 8 presents the design of FTFT plans that are not covered in the tables of this document. Mathematical references and procedures of the design of FTFT plans are given in Annex E and in Annex F. Clause 9 is devoted to the calendar FTFT for non-replaced items (examples and mathematical references of their design are given in Annex G). Clause 10 is devoted to the combined test plans (parameters of their border lines are given in Annex B). Clause 11 explains how to perform the test and presentation of results. Annex D presents the approximation of OC by Wald's formula. Annex H is devoted to the mathematical reference for the test plans of GOST R 27.402 [12].

RELIABILITY TESTING – COMPLIANCE TESTS FOR CONSTANT FAILURE RATE AND CONSTANT FAILURE INTENSITY

1 Scope

This document gives a number of optimized test plans, the corresponding border lines and characteristics. In addition, the algorithms for designing test plans using a spreadsheet program are also given, together with guidance on how to choose test plans.

This document specifies procedures to test whether an observed value of

- failure rate,
- failure intensity,
- mean operating time to failure (MTTF),
- mean operating time between failures (MTBF),

conforms to a given requirement.

It is assumed, except where otherwise stated, that during the accumulated test time, the times to failure or the operating times between failures are independent and identically exponentially distributed. This assumption implies that the failure rate or failure intensity is assumed to be constant.

Four types of test plans are described as follows:

- truncated sequential probability ratio test (SPRT);
- fixed time/failure terminated test (FTFT);
- fixed calendar time terminated test without replacement;
- combined test.

This document does not cover guidance on how to plan, perform, analyse and report a test. This information can be found in IEC 60300-3-5.

This document does not describe test conditions. This information can be found in IEC 60605-2 and in IEC 60300-3-5.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

IEC 60050-192, *International Electrotechnical Vocabulary (IEV) – Part 192: Dependability*, available at <http://www.electropedia.org>

IEC 60300-3-5:2001, *Dependability management – Part 3-5: Application guide – Reliability test conditions and statistical test principles*

IEC 60605-2, *Equipment reliability testing – Part 2: Design of test cycles*

IEC 60605-4:2001, *Equipment reliability testing – Part 4: Statistical procedures for exponential distribution – Point estimates, confidence intervals, prediction intervals and tolerance intervals*

IEC 60605-6, *Equipment reliability testing – Part 6: Tests for the validity and estimation of the constant failure rate and constant failure intensity*

IEC 61123:2019, *Reliability testing – Compliance test plans for success ratio*