

© Copyright SEK Svensk Elstandard. Reproduction in any form without permission is prohibited.

EMC – Utrustning och metoder för mätning av radiostörningar och immunitet – Del 3: Tekniska rapporter från CISPR

*Specification for radio disturbance and immunity measuring apparatus and methods –
Part 3: CISPR technical reports
(CISPR/TR 16-3:2020)*

ISSN 1651-1417

ICS 33.100.10; 33.100.20

Upplysningar om **sakinnehållet** i rapporten lämnas av
SEK Svensk Elstandard.
Postadress: Box 1284, 164 29 KISTA
Telefon: 08 - 444 14 00.
E-post: sek@elstandard.se. Internet: www.elstandard.se

Standarder underlättar utvecklingen och höjer elsäkerheten

Det finns många fördelar med att ha gemensamma tekniska regler för bl a mätning, säkerhet och provning och för utförande, skötsel och dokumentation av elprodukter och elanläggningar.

Genom att utforma sådana standarder blir säkerhetsfordringar tydliga och utvecklingskostnaderna rimliga samtidigt som marknadens acceptans för produkten eller tjänsten ökar.

Många standarder inom elområdet beskriver tekniska lösningar och metoder som åstadkommer den elsäkerhet som föreskrivs av svenska myndigheter och av EU.

SEK är Sveriges röst i standardiseringsarbetet inom elområdet

SEK Svensk Elstandard svarar för standardiseringen inom elområdet i Sverige och samordnar svensk medverkan i internationell och europeisk standardisering. SEK är en ideell organisation med frivilligt deltagande från svenska myndigheter, företag och organisationer som vill medverka till och påverka utformningen av tekniska regler inom elektrotekniken.

SEK samordnar svenska intressenters medverkan i SEKs tekniska kommittéer och stödjer svenska experters medverkan i internationella och europeiska projekt.

Stora delar av arbetet sker internationellt

Utformningen av standarder sker i allt väsentligt i internationellt och europeiskt samarbete. SEK är svensk nationalkommitté av International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) och Comité Européen de Normalisation Electrotechnique (CENELEC).

Standardiseringsarbetet inom SEK är organiserat i referensgrupper bestående av ett antal tekniska kommittéer som speglar hur arbetet inom IEC och CENELEC är organiserat.

Arbetet i de tekniska kommittéerna är öppet för alla svenska organisationer, företag, institutioner, myndigheter och statliga verk. Den årliga avgiften för deltagandet och intäkter från försäljning finansierar SEKs standardiseringsverksamhet och medlemsavgift till IEC och CENELEC.

Var med och påverka!

Den som deltar i SEKs tekniska kommittéarbete har möjlighet att påverka framtida standarder och får tidig tillgång till information och dokumentation om utvecklingen inom sitt teknikområde. Arbetet och kontakterna med kollegor, kunder och konkurrenter kan gynnsamt påverka enskilda företags affärsutveckling och bidrar till deltagarnas egen kompetensutveckling.

Du som vill dra nytta av dessa möjligheter är välkommen att kontakta SEKs kansli för mer information.

SEK Svensk Elstandard

Box 1284
164 29 Kista
Tel 08-444 14 00
www.elstandard.se

TECHNICAL REPORT



INTERNATIONAL SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON RADIO INTERFERENCE

**Specification for radio disturbance and immunity measuring apparatus and methods –
Part 3: CISPR technical reports**

INTERNATIONAL
ELECTROTECHNICAL
COMMISSION

ICS 33.100.10; 33.100.20

ISBN 978-2-8322-8957-0

Warning! Make sure that you obtained this publication from an authorized distributor.

CONTENTS

FOREWORD	16
1 Scope	18
2 Normative references	18
3 Terms, definitions and abbreviated terms	19
3.1 Terms and definitions.....	19
3.2 Abbreviated terms.....	22
4 Technical reports.....	23
4.1 Correlation between measurements made with apparatus having characteristics differing from CISPR characteristics and measurements made with CISPR apparatus	23
4.1.1 General	23
4.1.2 Critical interference-measuring instrument parameters	23
4.1.3 Impulse interference – correlation factors	25
4.1.4 Random noise	27
4.1.5 The root mean square (RMS) detector	27
4.1.6 Discussion.....	27
4.1.7 Application to typical noise sources	27
4.1.8 Conclusions.....	28
4.2 Interference simulators	29
4.2.1 General	29
4.2.2 Types of interference signals	29
4.2.3 Circuits for simulating broadband interference	30
4.3 Relationship between limits for open-area test site and the reverberation chamber	34
4.3.1 General	34
4.3.2 Correlation between measurement results of the reverberation chamber and OATS.....	34
4.3.3 Limits for use with the reverberation chamber method	35
4.3.4 Procedure for the determination of the reverberation chamber limit	35
4.4 Characterization and classification of the asymmetrical disturbance source induced in telephone subscriber lines by AM broadcasting transmitters in the LW, MW and SW bands	36
4.4.1 General	36
4.4.2 Experimental characterization.....	36
4.4.3 Prediction models and classification	46
4.4.4 Characterization of the immunity-test disturbance source	50
4.5 Predictability of radiation in vertical directions at frequencies above 30 MHz	57
4.5.1 Summary	57
4.5.2 Range of application.....	58
4.5.3 General	58
4.5.4 Method used to calculate field patterns in the vertical plane	60
4.5.5 Limitations of predictability of radiation at elevated angles.....	61
4.5.6 Differences between the fields over a real ground and the fields over a perfect conductor.....	89
4.5.7 Uncertainty ranges	95
4.5.8 Conclusions.....	97

4.6	The predictability of radiation in vertical directions at frequencies up to 30 MHz	98
4.6.1	Range of application	98
4.6.2	General	99
4.6.3	Method of calculation of the vertical radiation patterns.....	100
4.6.4	The source models	100
4.6.5	Electrical constants of the ground	102
4.6.6	Predictability of radiation in vertical directions	102
4.6.7	Conclusions.....	110
4.6.8	Figures associated with predictability of radiation in vertical directions	111
4.7	Correlation between amplitude probability distribution (APD) characteristics of disturbance and performance of digital communication systems.....	146
4.7.1	General	146
4.7.2	Influence on a wireless LAN system	146
4.7.3	Influence on a Bluetooth system	149
4.7.4	Influence on a W-CDMA system	153
4.7.5	Influence on Personal Handy Phone System (PHS)	156
4.7.6	Quantitative correlation between noise parameters and system performance	160
4.7.7	Quantitative correlation between noise parameters of repetition pulse and system performance of PHS and W-CDMA (BER)	163
4.8	Background material on the definition of the RMS-average weighting detector for measuring receivers.....	166
4.8.1	General – purpose of weighted measurement of disturbance	166
4.8.2	General principle of weighting – the CISPR quasi-peak detector.....	167
4.8.3	Other detectors defined in CISPR 16-1-1	167
4.8.4	Procedures for measuring pulse weighting characteristics of digital radiocommunications services	168
4.8.5	Theoretical studies	171
4.8.6	Experimental results	173
4.8.7	Effects of spread-spectrum clock interference on wideband radiocommunication signal reception	191
4.8.8	Analysis of the various weighting characteristics and proposal of a weighting detector	192
4.8.9	Properties of the RMS-average weighting detector	194
4.9	Common mode absorption devices (CMAD)	196
4.9.1	General	196
4.9.2	CMAD as a two-port device	198
4.9.3	Measurement of CMAD.....	202
4.10	Background on the definition of the FFT-based receiver.....	212
4.10.1	General	212
4.10.2	Tuned selective voltmeters and spectrum analyzers	213
4.10.3	General principle of a tuned selective voltmeter.....	213
4.10.4	FFT-based receivers – digital signal processing	214
4.10.5	Measurement errors specific to FFT processing.....	218
4.10.6	FFT-based receivers – examples	220
4.11	Parameters of signals at telecommunication ports.....	233
4.11.1	General	233
4.11.2	Estimation of common mode disturbance levels.....	234
4.12	Background on CDNE equipment and measurement method.....	235

4.12.1	General	235
4.12.2	Historical overview	236
4.12.3	From CDN to CDNE	240
4.13	Background on LLAS, validation and measurement method	243
4.13.1	General	243
4.13.2	Historical overview	243
4.13.3	Models and equations for the LLAS method	244
5	Background and history of CISPR	244
5.1	The history of CISPR	244
5.1.1	The early years: 1934-1984	244
5.1.2	The division of work	246
5.1.3	The computer years: 1984 to 1998	247
5.1.4	The people in CISPR	247
5.2	Historical background to the method of measurement of the interference power produced by electrical household and similar appliances in the VHF range	248
5.2.1	Historical detail	248
5.2.2	Development of the method	249
Annex A (informative)	Derivation of the formula	251
Annex B (informative)	The field-strength distribution	255
B.1	General	255
B.2	H_O -field expressions	255
B.3	H_i field expressions	257
B.4	E_O -field expressions	258
Annex C (informative)	The induced asymmetrical open-circuit voltage distribution	259
C.1	General	259
C.2	H -field-based relations	259
C.3	E -field-based relations	261
Annex D (informative)	The outlet-voltage distribution	262
D.1	General	262
D.2	H -field-based relations	262
D.3	E -field-based relations	263
Annex E (informative)	Some mathematical relations	264
E.1	General	264
E.2	The error function	264
E.3	Application to the lognormal distribution	265
Annex F (informative)	Harmonic fields radiated at elevated angles from 27 MHz ISM equipment over real ground	266
Annex G (informative)	Models and equations associated with the LLAS method	272
G.1	General	272
G.2	Response of an LAS to a magnetic field dipole	272
G.2.1	Magnetic field strength model of a disturbance source	272
G.2.2	Response of an LAS to a magnetic field dipole	273
G.2.3	Sensitivity of an LLAS for different diameters	277
G.2.4	Limitation of application of the relative sensitivity curves	278
G.3	Response of LLAS to the LLAS verification dipole	279
G.3.1	Relation of LLA current and voltage applied to the LLAS verification dipole	279

G.3.2	Calculation of mutual inductance: the original method	280
G.3.3	Calculation of mutual inductance: the improved method.....	280
G.3.4	Derivation of the equation for the reference validation factor	280
G.3.5	Replication of the original version of the reference validation factor.....	283
G.3.6	Calculation of the improved reference validation factor	283
G.3.7	NEC2 method	285
G.4	Magnetic field strength of a magnetic field dipole above a ground plane	286
G.4.1	Model	286
G.4.2	Replication of Figure C.10	287
G.4.3	Conversion factors for calculating magnetic field strength at other distances	289
G.5	LLAS validation criterion	290
Bibliography.....		291
Figure 1	– Relative response of various detectors to impulse interference	24
Figure 2	– Pulse rectification coefficient $P(\alpha)$	25
Figure 3	– Pulse repetition frequency.....	26
Figure 4	– Block diagram and waveforms of a simulator generating noise bursts	32
Figure 5	– Block diagram of a simulator generating noise bursts according to the pulse principle.....	33
Figure 6	– Details of a typical output stage	34
Figure 7	– Scatter plot of the measured outdoor magnetic field strength H_O (dB μ A/m) versus the calculated outdoor magnetic field strength H_C dB(μ A/m)	38
Figure 8	– Measured outdoor magnetic versus distance, and probability of the building- effect parameter	39
Figure 9	– Normal probability plot of the building-effect parameter A_b dB.....	40
Figure 10	– Scatter plot of the outdoor antenna factor G_O dB(Ω m) versus the indoor antenna factor G_i	41
Figure 11	– Normal probability plots of the antenna factors.....	42
Figure 12	– Normal probability plot of the equivalent asymmetrical resistance R_a dB(Ω)	45
Figure 13	– Examples of the frequency dependence of some parameters	46
Figure 14	– Example of the frequency histogram $\Delta N(E_O, \Delta E_O)$	51
Figure 15	– Example of $n_m(E_O)$, i.e. the distribution of the outlets experiencing a maximum field strength E_O resulting from a given number of transmitters in (or near) the respective geographical region	52
Figure 16	– Example of the number of outlets with an induced asymmetrical open- circuit voltage $U_L \leq U_h \leq U_{max} = 79$ V (see Table 10)	54
Figure 17	– Examples of number (left-hand scale) and relative number (right-hand scale) of outlets with $U_L \leq U_h \leq U_{max}$	55
Figure 18	– Vertical polar patterns of horizontally polarized E_x field strengths emitted around small vertical loop (horizontal magnetic dipole) over three different types of real ground	63
Figure 19	– Height scan patterns of vertically oriented E_z field strengths emitted from small vertical loop (horizontal magnetic dipole) over three different types of real ground	63
Figure 20	– Vertical polar patterns of horizontally polarized E_x field strengths emitted around small vertical loop (horizontal magnetic dipole), over three different types of real ground	65

Figure 21 – Vertical polar patterns of vertically oriented E_z field strengths emitted around small vertical loop (horizontal magnetic dipole) over three different types of real ground 65

Figure 22 – Height scan patterns of vertically oriented E_z field strengths emitted at 1 000 MHz from the small vertical loop (horizontal magnetic dipole), at horizontal distance of 10 m, 30 m and 300 m in the Z-X plane over three different types of real ground 66

Figure 23 – Vertical polar patterns of horizontally polarized E_x and vertically oriented E_z field strengths emitted around small horizontal electric dipole, in Y-Z and Z-X planes respectively 68

Figure 24 – Height scan patterns of horizontally polarized E_x field strengths emitted from small horizontal electric dipole 68

Figure 25 – Vertical polar patterns of horizontally polarized E_x and vertically oriented E_z field strengths emitted around small horizontal electric dipole in Y-Z and Z-X planes respectively 71

Figure 26 – Height scan patterns of horizontally polarized E_x field strengths emitted small horizontal electric dipole 71

Figure 27 – Vertical polar patterns of horizontally polarized E_x and vertically oriented E_z field strengths emitted around small vertical loop (horizontal magnetic dipole) in Y-Z and Z-X planes respectively 72

Figure 28 – Height scan patterns of vertically oriented E_z and horizontally oriented E_x field strengths emitted from small vertical loop (horizontal magnetic dipole)..... 72

Figure 29 – Vertical polar patterns of vertically oriented E_z and horizontally oriented E_x field strengths emitted around small vertical electric dipole 75

Figure 30 – Height scan patterns of vertically oriented E_z and horizontally oriented E_x field strengths emitted from small vertical electric dipole..... 75

Figure 31 – Vertical polar patterns of horizontally polarized E_x and vertically oriented E_z field strengths emitted around small vertical loop (horizontal magnetic dipole) in Y-Z and Z-X planes respectively 76

Figure 32 – Height scan patterns of vertically oriented E_z and horizontally oriented E_x field strengths emitted from small vertical loop (horizontal magnetic dipole)..... 76

Figure 33 – Vertical polar patterns of horizontally polarized E -field strength emitted around small horizontal loop (vertical magnetic dipole) 77

Figure 34 – Height scan patterns of horizontally polarized E -field strength emitted from small horizontal loop (vertical magnetic dipole) 77

Figure 35 – Vertical polar patterns of vertically oriented E_z and horizontally oriented E_x field strengths emitted around small vertical electric dipole 80

Figure 36 – Height scan patterns of vertically oriented E_z and horizontally oriented E_x field strengths emitted from the small vertical electric dipole 80

Figure 37 – Vertical polar patterns of horizontally polarized E_x and vertically oriented E_z field strengths emitted around small vertical loop (horizontal magnetic dipole) in Y-Z and Z-X planes respectively 81

Figure 38 – Height scan patterns of vertically oriented E_z and horizontally oriented E_x field strengths emitted from small vertical loop (horizontal magnetic dipole)..... 81

Figure 39 – Vertical polar patterns of horizontally polarized E -field strength emitted around small horizontal loop (vertical magnetic dipole) 82

Figure 40 – Height scan patterns of horizontally polarized E -field strength emitted from small horizontal loop (vertical magnetic dipole) 82

Figure 41 – Vertical polar patterns of horizontally polarized E -field strength emitted around the small horizontal loop (vertical magnetic dipole) 85

Figure 42 – Height scan patterns of horizontally polarized E -field strength emitted from small horizontal loop (vertical magnetic dipole) 85

Figure 43 – Height scan patterns of horizontally polarized E -field strength emitted from small horizontal loop (vertical magnetic dipole).....	89
Figure 44 – Height scan patterns of the vertical component of the E -fields emitted from a small vertical electric dipole.....	92
Figure 45 – Height scan patterns of the vertical component of the E -fields emitted from a small vertical electric dipole.....	92
Figure 46 – Height scan patterns of the horizontally polarized E -fields emitted in the vertical plane normal to the axis of a small horizontal electric dipole.....	94
Figure 47 – Height scan patterns of the horizontally polarized E -fields emitted in the vertical plane normal to the axis of a small horizontal electric dipole.....	94
Figure 48 – Ranges of uncertainties in the predictability of radiation in vertical directions from electrically small sources located at a height of 1 m or 2 m above ground.....	96
Figure 49 – Ranges of uncertainties in the predictability of radiation in vertical directions from electrically small sources located at a height of 1 m or 2 m above ground.....	96
Figure 50 – Ranges of uncertainties in the predictability of radiation in vertical directions from electrically small sources located at a height of 1 m or 2 m above ground.....	97
Figure 51 – Geometry of the small vertical electric dipole model.....	101
Figure 52 – Geometry of the small horizontal electrical dipole model.....	101
Figure 53 – Geometry of the small horizontal magnetic dipole model (small vertical loop).....	101
Figure 54 – Geometry of the small vertical magnetic dipole model (small horizontal loop).....	101
Figure 55 – Ranges of errors in the predictability of radiation in vertical directions from electrically small sources located close to the ground, based on measurements of the horizontally oriented H -field near ground at a distance of 30 m from the sources.....	109
Figure 56 – Ranges of errors in the predictability of radiation in vertical directions from electrically small sources located close to the ground, based on measurements of the horizontally oriented H -field at the ground supplemented with measurements of the vertically oriented H -field in a height scan up to 6 m at a distance of 30 m from the sources.....	110
Figure 57 – Vertical radiation patterns of horizontally oriented H -fields emitted by a small vertical electric dipole located close to the ground.....	112
Figure 58 – Vertical radiation patterns of horizontally oriented H -fields emitted by a small vertical electric dipole located close to the ground.....	112
Figure 59 – Vertical radiation patterns of E -fields emitted by a small vertical electric dipole located close to the ground.....	113
Figure 60 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E -fields emitted by a small vertical electric dipole located close to the ground.....	113
Figure 61 – Vertical radiation patterns of the H -fields emitted by a small horizontal electric dipole located close to the ground.....	115
Figure 62 – Influence of a wide range of values of the electrical constants of the ground on the vertical radiation patterns of the horizontally oriented H -fields emitted by a small horizontal electric dipole located close to the ground.....	115
Figure 63 – Vertical radiation patterns of the horizontally oriented H -fields emitted by a small horizontal electric dipole located close to the ground.....	116
Figure 64 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E -fields emitted by a small horizontal electric dipole located close to the ground.....	116

Figure 65 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E -fields emitted by a small horizontal electric dipole located close to the ground	117
Figure 66 – Vertical radiation patterns of H -fields emitted by small horizontal magnetic dipole (vertical loop) located close to ground	117
Figure 67 – Vertical radiation patterns of the horizontally oriented H -fields emitted by a small horizontal magnetic dipole (vertical loop) located close to the ground	118
Figure 68 – Vertical radiation patterns of the horizontally oriented H -fields emitted by a small horizontal magnetic dipole (vertical loop) located close to the ground	118
Figure 69 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E -fields emitted by a small horizontal magnetic dipole (vertical loop) located close to the ground	119
Figure 70 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E -fields emitted by a small horizontal magnetic dipole (vertical loop) located close to the ground	119
Figure 71 – Vertical radiation patterns of the H -fields emitted by a small vertical magnetic dipole (horizontal loop) located close to the ground	121
Figure 72 – Vertical radiation patterns of the H -fields emitted by a small vertical magnetic dipole (horizontal loop) located close to the ground	121
Figure 73 – Vertical radiation patterns of the H -fields emitted by a small vertical magnetic dipole (horizontal loop) located close to the ground	122
Figure 74 – Vertical radiation patterns of the H -fields emitted by a small vertical magnetic dipole (horizontal loop) located close to the ground	122
Figure 75 – Vertical radiation pattern of the E -field emitted by a small vertical magnetic dipole (horizontal loop) located close to the ground	123
Figure 76 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E -fields emitted by a small vertical magnetic dipole (horizontal loop) located close to the ground	123
Figure 77 – Vertical radiation patterns of the horizontally oriented H -fields emitted by a small vertical electric dipole located close to the ground	124
Figure 78 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E -fields emitted by a small vertical electric dipole located close to the ground	124
Figure 79 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E -fields emitted by a small vertical electric dipole located close to the ground	125
Figure 80 – Vertical radiation patterns of the H -fields emitted by a small horizontal electric dipole located close to the ground	125
Figure 81 – Vertical radiation patterns of the horizontally oriented H -fields emitted by a small horizontal electric dipole located close to the ground	126
Figure 82 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E -fields emitted by a small horizontal electric dipole located close to the ground	126
Figure 83 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E -fields emitted by a small horizontal electric dipole located close to the ground	128
Figure 84 – Vertical radiation patterns of the H -fields emitted by a small horizontal magnetic dipole (vertical loop) located close to the ground	128
Figure 85 – Vertical radiation patterns of the horizontally oriented H -fields emitted by a small horizontal magnetic dipole (vertical loop) located close to the ground	129
Figure 86 – Vertical radiation patterns of the horizontally oriented H -fields emitted by a small horizontal magnetic dipole (vertical loop) located close to the ground	129
Figure 87 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E -fields emitted by a small horizontal magnetic dipole (vertical loop) located close to the ground	130
Figure 88 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E -fields emitted by a small horizontal magnetic dipole (vertical loop) located close to the ground	130
Figure 89 – Vertical radiation patterns of the H -fields emitted by a small vertical magnetic dipole (horizontal loop) located close to the ground	131

Figure 90 – Vertical radiation patterns of the H -fields emitted by a small vertical magnetic dipole (horizontal loop) located close to the ground	131
Figure 91 – Vertical radiation patterns of the H -fields emitted by a small vertical magnetic dipole (horizontal loop) located close to the ground	132
Figure 92 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E -fields emitted by a small vertical magnetic dipole (horizontal loop) located close to the ground	132
Figure 93 – Vertical radiation patterns of the horizontally oriented H -fields emitted by a small vertical electric dipole located close to the ground	133
Figure 94 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E -fields emitted by a small vertical electric dipole located close to the ground	133
Figure 95 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E -fields emitted by a small vertical electric dipole located close to the ground	135
Figure 96 – Vertical radiation patterns of the H -fields emitted by a small horizontal electric dipole located close to the ground	135
Figure 97 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E -fields emitted by a small horizontal electric dipole located close to the ground	136
Figure 98 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E -fields emitted by a small horizontal electric dipole located close to the ground	136
Figure 99 – Vertical radiation patterns of the H -field emitted by a small horizontal magnetic dipole (vertical loop) located close to the ground	138
Figure 100 – Vertical radiation patterns of the vertically polarized E -fields emitted by a small horizontal magnetic dipole (vertical loop) located close to the ground	138
Figure 101 – Vertical radiation patterns of the H -field emitted by a small vertical magnetic dipole (horizontal loop) located close to the ground	139
Figure 102 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E -fields emitted by a small vertical magnetic dipole (horizontal loop) located close to the ground	139
Figure 103 – Vertical radiation patterns of the horizontally oriented H -fields emitted by a small vertical electric dipole located close to the ground	140
Figure 104 – Vertical radiation patterns of the vertically polarized E -fields emitted by a small vertical electric dipole located close to the ground	140
Figure 105 – Vertical radiation patterns of the H -fields emitted by a small horizontal electric dipole located close to the ground	141
Figure 106 – Vertical radiation patterns of the horizontally oriented H -fields emitted by a small horizontal electric dipole located close to the ground	141
Figure 107 – Influence of a wide range of values of the electrical constants of the ground on the vertical radiation patterns of the horizontally oriented H -fields emitted by a small horizontal electric dipole located close to the ground	142
Figure 108 – Vertical radiation patterns of the vertically polarized E -fields emitted by a small horizontal electric dipole located close to the ground	142
Figure 109 – Vertical radiation patterns of the H -fields emitted by a small horizontal magnetic dipole (vertical loop) located close to the ground	144
Figure 110 – Vertical radiation patterns of the vertically polarized E -fields emitted by a small horizontal magnetic dipole (vertical loop) located close to the ground	144
Figure 111 – Vertical radiation patterns of the H -fields emitted by a small vertical magnetic dipole (horizontal loop) located close to the ground	145
Figure 112 – Vertical radiation patterns of the E -fields emitted by a small vertical magnetic dipole (horizontal loop) located close to the ground	145
Figure 113 – Set-up for measuring communication quality degradation of a wireless LAN ..	146
Figure 114 – APD characteristics of disturbance	148
Figure 115 – Wireless LAN throughput influenced by noise	149

Figure 116 – Set-up for measuring the communication quality degradation of Bluetooth 150

Figure 117 – APD of disturbance of actual MWO (2 441MHz) 150

Figure 118 – APD characteristics of disturbance (2 460 MHz)..... 151

Figure 119 – Throughput of Bluetooth influenced by noise 153

Figure 120 – Set-up for measuring the BER of W-CDMA..... 154

Figure 121 – APD characteristics of disturbance 155

Figure 122 – BER of W-CDMA caused by radiation noise 156

Figure 123 – Set-up for measuring the PHS throughput 157

Figure 124 – Set-up for measuring the BER of PHS 157

Figure 125 – APD characteristics of disturbance 158

Figure 126 – PHS throughput caused by radiation 159

Figure 127 – BER of PHS caused by radiation noise..... 160

Figure 128 – Correlation of the disturbance voltages with the system performance
(C/N_0)..... 161

Figure 129 – Correlation of the disturbance voltages with the system performance..... 161

Figure 130 – Correlation of the disturbance voltages with the system performance..... 162

Figure 131 – Correlation of the disturbance voltages with the system performance
(C/N_0)..... 162

Figure 132 – Correlation of the disturbance voltages with the system performance
(C/N_0)..... 163

Figure 133 – Experimental set-up for measuring communication quality degradation of
a PHS or W-CDMA 163

Figure 134 – Simulation set-up for estimating communication quality degradation of a
PHS or W-CDMA 164

Figure 135 – APD of pulse disturbance 164

Figure 136 – BER degradation of PHS and W-CDMA caused by repetition pulse
(Carrier power, -35 dBm)..... 165

Figure 137 – Evaluation method of the correlation between BER and APD..... 165

Figure 138 – Correlation between measured ΔL_{BER} and ΔL_{APD} 166

Figure 139 – Correlation between measured p_{BER} and p_{APD} 166

Figure 140 – Weighting curves of quasi-peak measuring receivers for the different
frequency ranges as defined in CISPR 16-1-1..... 167

Figure 141 – Weighting curves for peak, quasi-peak, RMS and linear average
detectors for CISPR bands C and D..... 168

Figure 142 – Test setup for the measurement of the pulse weighting characteristics of
a digital radiocommunication system..... 169

Figure 143 – Example of an interference spectrum: pulse modulated carrier with a
pulse duration of 0,2 μ s and a PRF < 10 kHz 170

Figure 144 – The RMS and peak levels for constant BEP for three $K = 3$, convolutional
codes of different rate 172

Figure 145 – The RMS and peak levels for constant BEP for two rate $\frac{1}{2}$, convolutional
code 173

Figure 146 – Test setup for the measurement of weighting curves for Digital Radio
Mondiale (DRM)..... 175

Figure 147 – Weighting characteristics for DRM signals for various pulse widths of the
pulse-modulated carrier 176

Figure 148 – Weighting characteristics for DRM protection level 0: average of results for two receivers	177
Figure 149 – Weighting characteristics for DRM protection level 1: average of results for two receivers	177
Figure 150 – Weighting characteristics for DVB-T with 64 QAM 2k, <i>CR</i> 3/4 (as used in France and UK)	179
Figure 151 – Weighting characteristics for DVB-T with 64 QAM 8k, <i>CR</i> 3/4 (as used in Spain).....	180
Figure 152 – Weighting characteristics for DVB-T with 16 QAM 8k, <i>CR</i> 2/3 (as used in Germany).....	180
Figure 153 – Average weighting characteristics of 6 receiver types for DVB-T with 16QAM	181
Figure 154 – Average weighting characteristics of 6 receiver types for DVB-T with 64QAM	181
Figure 155 – Weighting characteristics for DAB (signal level -71 dBm) with a flat response down to approximately 1 kHz	182
Figure 156 – Weighting characteristics for DAB: average of two different commercial receiver types	183
Figure 157 – Weighting characteristics for TETRA (signal level – 80 dBm) for a code rate of 1	184
Figure 158 – Weighting characteristics for RBER 1b of GSM (signal level –90 dBm).....	185
Figure 159 – Weighting characteristics for RBER 2 of GSM	185
Figure 160 – Carrier-to-interference improvements with decreasing PRF in dB computed for GSM using COSSAP	186
Figure 161 – RMS and quasi-peak values of pulse level for constant effect on FM radio reception	186
Figure 162 – Weighting characteristics for RBER 1b of GSM (signal level –90 dBm).....	187
Figure 163 – Weighting characteristics for DECT (signal level –83 dBm)	188
Figure 164 – Weighting characteristics for IS-95 (signal level -97 dBm) with comparatively high immunity to interference	189
Figure 165 – Weighting characteristics for J-STD 008 (signal level –97 dBm)	189
Figure 166 – Weighting characteristics for the Frame Error Ratio (FER) of CDMA2000 (measured at a receive signal level of –112 dBm) for a low data rate of 9,6 kb/s.....	190
Figure 167 – Weighting characteristics for the Frame Error Ratio (FER) of CDMA2000 (measured at a receive signal level of –106 dBm) for two different data rates (9,6 kb/s and 76,8 kb/s).....	190
Figure 168 – The proposed RMS-average detector for CISPR Bands C and D with a corner frequency of 100 Hz.....	193
Figure 169 – RMS-average detector function by using an RMS detector followed by a linear average detector and peak reading	193
Figure 170 – RMS-average weighting functions for CISPR Bands A, B, C/D and E for the shortest pulse widths allowed by the measurement bandwidths	194
Figure 171 – Shift of the RMS-average weighting function for CISPR band C/D by using a bandwidth of 1 MHz instead of 120 kHz, if the shortest possible pulse widths are applied.....	195
Figure 172 – Example of a simple EUT model.....	197
Figure 173 – Representation of a CMAD as a two-port device.....	198
Figure 174 – Conformal mapping between <i>z</i> -plane and <i>f</i> -plane.....	201

Figure 175 – Conversion from 50 Ω coaxial system to the geometry of the two-port device-under-test..... 203

Figure 176 – Basic model for the TRL calibration..... 204

Figure 177 – The four calibration configurations necessary for the TRL calibration 205

Figure 178 – Measurement of CMAD characteristics..... 209

Figure 179 – Preliminary measurements of the test set-up..... 211

Figure 180 – Position of the reference planes for the measurement with SOLT calibration and $ABCD$ transformation to Z_{ref} level..... 212

Figure 181 – Superheterodyne EMI receiver 214

Figure 182 – An example spectrogram $Z[m,k]$ 216

Figure 183 – Sidelobe effect due to the finite length of window 218

Figure 184 – Measurement error for a single pulse 219

Figure 185 – IF signal for different overlapping factors for the same sequence of pulses..... 220

Figure 186 – FFT-based baseband system 221

Figure 187 – Real-time FFT-based measuring instrument..... 222

Figure 188 – Digital down-converter..... 222

Figure 189 – Short time fast Fourier transform – An example of implementation 223

Figure 190 – Floating-point analogue-to-digital conversion 223

Figure 191 – Example of a 120 kHz Gaussian filter..... 224

Figure 192 – Essential parts of an FFT-based heterodyne receiver..... 225

Figure 193 – Dynamic range for broadband emission as measured with the peak detector..... 227

Figure 194 – Set-up of FFT-based system type 2..... 227

Figure 195 – FFT Software (“FFTEmi”) screen shot..... 230

Figure 196 – Example of pulse generator measurement with antenna 231

Figure 197 – Radiated emission measurement of a motor – peak detector..... 231

Figure 198 – Angular characterization of a PC..... 232

Figure 199 – Example FFT IF analysis display..... 233

Figure 200 – Equivalent radiated measurement methods (30 MHz to 300 MHz)..... 237

Figure 201 – Measured relationship between field strength E_Z and CM current I_{CM} for various termination resistances R 237

Figure 202 – Modelled relationship between field strength E_Z and CM current I_{CM} using EUT height 0,8 m, measurement distance 3 m, receive antenna height 1 m..... 239

Figure 203 – Limit for the terminal voltage at the CDN..... 239

Figure 204 – Results of a Philips 11-lab internal CDN RRT using an artificial class 1 EUT – expanded uncertainty nearly 10 dB..... 241

Figure 205 – Block diagram for CDNE-measurement method..... 242

Figure A.1 – Example plot using the expression $P_t + G = P_q + 2$ 252

Figure A.2 – Examples of a number of microwaves measured for P_q and P_t 254

Figure B.1 – Definition of the ring-shaped area round the transmitter T 256

Figure C.1 – The permissible ranges of U_h and G are within the polygon $\{G_L, U_a\}$, $\{G_L, U_b\}$, $\{G_U, U_d\}$, $\{G_U, U_c\}$ and $\{G_L, U_a\}$. For the given value U_L the double-shaded area represents $pr\{U_h \geq U_L\}$ 260

Figure F.1 – Vertical radiation patterns of horizontally polarized fields, 109 MHz, 300 m scan radius (adapted from [34])	268
Figure F.2 – Vertical radiation patterns of horizontally polarized fields, 109 MHz, 300 m scan radius (adapted from [34])	269
Figure F.3 – Vertical radiation patterns of horizontally polarized fields, 109 MHz, 300 m scan radius (adapted from [34])	270
Figure F.4 – Vertical radiation patterns of horizontally polarized fields, 109 MHz, 300 m scan radius (adapted from [34])	271
Figure G.1 – Geometry and coordination system for a single magnetic field dipole	272
Figure G.2 – Configuration of measurement of an EUT in an LLA with two slits.....	273
Figure G.3 – Transformer model representation of a disturbance source inside an LLA	274
Figure G.4 – Transfer functions $f_c(\omega)$, $g_c(\omega)$ and their product (expressed in dB) as a function of frequency for a 2 m LLAS	277
Figure G.5 – Sensitivity S_D of an LLAS with diameter D relative to an LLAS with 2 m diameter (Figure C.11 of CISPR 16-1-4:2019 [102]).....	278
Figure G.6 – Setup of the LLAS verification dipole for verification of an LLAS	279
Figure G.7 – Geometry model of LLA for numerical computation of Neumann integral [113].....	282
Figure G.8 – LLAS verification dipole excluding the coupling effect from the LLAS loops	282
Figure G.9 – Network model representation of the LLAS verification dipole fed by a generator source and the LLA.....	283
Figure G.10 – First version of the reference validation factor for an LLA of 2 m diameter (Figure C.8 of CISPR 16-1-4:2010 [102]).....	283
Figure G.11 – Reference validation factors for an LLA of 2 m, 3 m and 4 m diameter (Figure C.8 of CISPR 16-1-4:2019 and CISPR 16-1-4:2019/AMD1:2020 [102])	284
Figure G.12 – Relative sensitivities of the LLAS verification dipole for an LLAS with different diameters (relative to an LLAS of 2 m diameter).....	285
Figure G.13 – Comparison of analytical and numerical (NEC2) calculations of the reference validation factors.....	285
Figure G.14 – Magnetic field dipole moment of a small loop radiator in free space.....	287
Figure G.15 – EUT above a ground plane and the coordinate system and possible magnetic field dipole orientations.....	287
Figure G.16 – Magnetic field strength resulting from a source and its image below the ground plane (side view).....	287
Figure G.17 – Magnetic field strength expressed in dB(μ A/m) of three magnetic field dipole orientations at three distances.....	288
Figure G.18 – Conversion factors C_{dA} [for conversion into dB(μ A/m)] for three standard measurement distances d (replicated Figure C.10 of CISPR 16-1-4:2010; exclusive the 30 m curve [102])	289
Figure G.19 – Conversion factors for calculating magnetic field strength at 10 m or 30 m ...	289
Table 1 – Comparative response of slideback peak, quasi-peak and average detectors to sine wave, periodic pulse and Gaussian waveform.....	24
Table 2 – Characteristics of gate generator and modulator to simulate various types of broadband interference	30
Table 3 – Summary results of building-effect, A_b , analysis.....	40
Table 4 – Summary of results of G -factor analysis	43
Table 5 – Summary of L_O factors (far-field)	43

Table 6 – Summary of truncation parameters of $f(G)$	44
Table 7 – Summary results of equivalent-resistance analysis	45
Table 8 – Example of field-strength classification	48
Table 9 – Example of voltage classification assuming for the outdoor field strength: $E_{\max} = 60$ V/m and $E_{\min} = 0,01$ V/m	49
Table 10 – Summary of the parameters used in the numerical examples presented in Figure 16 and Figure 17	53
Table 11 – Frequencies of interest in ITU designated bands from Table 9 of CISPR 11:2009	60
Table 12 – Electrical constants for “medium dry ground” [31] (CCIR: medium dry ground; rocks; sand; medium sized towns[32])	61
Table 13 – Electrical constants for “wet ground” [31] (CCIR: marshes (fresh water); cultivated land [24]) and “very dry ground” [31] (CCIR: very dry ground; granite mountains in cold regions; industrial areas [32])	61
Table 14 – Estimates of the errors in prediction of radiation in vertical directions based on a measurement height scan from 1 m to 4 m at known distances, d ; frequency = 75 MHz (adapted from [39])	69
Table 15 – Estimates of the errors in prediction of radiation in vertical directions based on a measurement height scan from 1 m to 4 m at known distances, d ; frequency = 110 MHz (adapted from [39])	73
Table 16 – Estimates of the errors in prediction of radiation in vertical directions based on a measurement height scan from 1 m to 4 m at known distances, d ; frequency = 243 MHz (adapted from [39])	78
Table 17 – Estimates of the errors in prediction of radiation in vertical directions based on a measurement height scan from 1 m to 4 m at known distances, d ; frequency = 330 MHz (adapted from [39])	83
Table 18 – Estimates of the errors in prediction of radiation in vertical directions based on a measurement height scan from 1 m to 4 m at known distances, d ; frequency = 1 000 MHz (adapted from [39])	86
Table 19 – Predictability of radiation in vertical directions at 100 kHz, using ground- based measurements of horizontally oriented H -field at distances up to 3 km from the source (figures are located in 4.6.8)	103
Table 20 – Predictability of radiation in vertical directions at 1 MHz, using ground- based measurements of horizontally oriented H -field at distances up to 300 m from the source (figures are located in 4.6.8)	104
Table 21 – Predictability of radiation in vertical directions at 10 MHz, using ground- based measurements of horizontally oriented H -field at distances up to 300 m from the source (figures are located in 4.6.8)	105
Table 22 – Predictability of radiation in vertical directions at 30 MHz, using ground- based measurements of horizontally oriented H -field at distances up to 300 m from the source (figures are located in 4.6.8)	106
Table 23 – Conditions for measuring communication quality degradation	147
Table 24 – Average and RMS values of noise level normalized by N_0	148
Table 25 – Conditions for measuring communication quality degradation of Bluetooth	150
Table 26 – Average and RMS values of noise level normalized by N_0	151
Table 27 – Average and RMS values of noise level normalized by N_0	152
Table 28 – Conditions for measuring communication quality degradation of W-CDMA	154
Table 29 – Average and RMS values of noise level normalized by N_0	155
Table 30 – Conditions for measuring the PHS throughput	157
Table 31 – Conditions for measuring the BER of PHS	157

Table 32 – Average and RMS values of noise level normalized by N_0	158
Table 33 – Overview of types of interference used in the experimental study of weighting characteristics.....	170
Table 34 – DRM radio stations received for the measurement of the weighting characteristics	174
Table 35 – Comparison of BER values for the same interference level.....	178
Table 36 – Transmission parameters of DVB-T systems used in various countries.....	179
Table 37 – Example of measurement results in dB(μ V) of unmodulated and FM modulated carriers for various detectors (bandwidth 120 kHz)	191
Table 38 – Survey of the corner frequencies found in the various measurement results	192
Table 39 – Measurement results for broadband disturbance sources (measurements with RMS-average and quasi-peak detectors are normalized to average detector values).....	196
Table 40 – Expected deviations between different laboratories for small EUTs due to variations of the impedance Z_{apparent} at point B.....	197
Table 41 – Calibration measurement results format	206
Table 42 – Scan times	224
Table 43 – Sampling rates for different B_{WIF}	228
Table 44 – Scan times for a scan 30 MHz to 1 GHz	229

INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

SPECIFICATION FOR RADIO DISTURBANCE AND IMMUNITY MEASURING APPARATUS AND METHODS –

Part 3: CISPR technical reports

FOREWORD

- 1) The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is a worldwide organization for standardization comprising all national electrotechnical committees (IEC National Committees). The object of IEC is to promote international co-operation on all questions concerning standardization in the electrical and electronic fields. To this end and in addition to other activities, IEC publishes International Standards, Technical Specifications, Technical Reports, Publicly Available Specifications (PAS) and Guides (hereafter referred to as "IEC Publication(s)"). Their preparation is entrusted to technical committees; any IEC National Committee interested in the subject dealt with may participate in this preparatory work. International, governmental and non-governmental organizations liaising with the IEC also participate in this preparation. IEC collaborates closely with the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) in accordance with conditions determined by agreement between the two organizations.
- 2) The formal decisions or agreements of IEC on technical matters express, as nearly as possible, an international consensus of opinion on the relevant subjects since each technical committee has representation from all interested IEC National Committees.
- 3) IEC Publications have the form of recommendations for international use and are accepted by IEC National Committees in that sense. While all reasonable efforts are made to ensure that the technical content of IEC Publications is accurate, IEC cannot be held responsible for the way in which they are used or for any misinterpretation by any end user.
- 4) In order to promote international uniformity, IEC National Committees undertake to apply IEC Publications transparently to the maximum extent possible in their national and regional publications. Any divergence between any IEC Publication and the corresponding national or regional publication shall be clearly indicated in the latter.
- 5) IEC itself does not provide any attestation of conformity. Independent certification bodies provide conformity assessment services and, in some areas, access to IEC marks of conformity. IEC is not responsible for any services carried out by independent certification bodies.
- 6) All users should ensure that they have the latest edition of this publication.
- 7) No liability shall attach to IEC or its directors, employees, servants or agents including individual experts and members of its technical committees and IEC National Committees for any personal injury, property damage or other damage of any nature whatsoever, whether direct or indirect, or for costs (including legal fees) and expenses arising out of the publication, use of, or reliance upon, this IEC Publication or any other IEC Publications.
- 8) Attention is drawn to the Normative references cited in this publication. Use of the referenced publications is indispensable for the correct application of this publication.
- 9) Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this IEC Publication may be the subject of patent rights. IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

The main task of IEC technical committees is to prepare International Standards. However, a technical committee may propose the publication of a technical report when it has collected data of a different kind from that which is normally published as an International Standard, for example "state of the art."

CISPR 16-3, which is a Technical Report, has been prepared by CISPR subcommittee A: Radio-interference measurements and statistical methods.

This fourth edition cancels and replaces the third edition published in 2015. This edition constitutes a technical revision.

The main technical change with respect to the previous edition consists of the addition of detailed background information on the large loop antenna system (LLAS) and its measurement method in general and on the models and equations which apply to calculate the reference validation factor and conversion factor curves.

The text of this Technical Report is based on the following documents:

Draft TR	Report on voting
CIS/A/1298/DTR	CIS/A/1310/RVDTR

Full information on the voting for the approval of this Technical Report can be found in the report on voting indicated in the above table.

This publication has been drafted in accordance with the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

A list of all parts of the CISPR 16 series can be found, under the general title *Specification for radio disturbance and immunity measuring apparatus and methods*, on the IEC website.

The committee has decided that the contents of the base publication and its amendments will remain unchanged until the stability date indicated on the IEC web site under "<http://webstore.iec.ch>" in the data related to the specific publication. At this date, the publication will be

- reconfirmed,
- withdrawn,
- replaced by a revised edition, or
- amended.

IMPORTANT – The 'colour inside' logo on the cover page of this publication indicates that it contains colours which are considered to be useful for the correct understanding of its contents. Users should therefore print this document using a colour printer.

SPECIFICATION FOR RADIO DISTURBANCE AND IMMUNITY MEASURING APPARATUS AND METHODS –

Part 3: CISPR technical reports

1 Scope

This part of CISPR 16 is a collection of technical reports (Clause 4) that serve as background and supporting information for the various other standards and technical reports in CISPR 16 series. In addition, background information is provided on the history of CISPR, as well as a historical reference on the measurement of interference power from household and similar appliances in the VHF range (Clause 5).

Over the years, CISPR prepared a number of recommendations and reports that have significant technical merit but were not generally available. Reports and recommendations were for some time published in CISPR 7 and CISPR 8.

At its meeting in Campinas, Brazil, in 1988, CISPR subcommittee A agreed on the table of contents of CISPR 16-3, and to publish the reports for posterity by giving the reports a permanent place in CISPR 16-3.

With the reorganization of CISPR 16 in 2003, the significance of CISPR limits material was moved to CISPR 16-4-3, whereas recommendations on statistics of disturbance complaints and on the report on the determination of limits were moved to CISPR 16-4-4:2007. The contents of Amendment 1 (2002) of CISPR 16-3:2000 were moved to CISPR 16-4-1.

NOTE As a consolidated collection of independent technical reports, this document can contain symbols that have differing meanings from one clause to the next. Attempts have been made to minimize this to the extent possible at the time of editing.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

CISPR 11:2009, *Industrial, scientific and medical equipment – Radio-frequency disturbance characteristics – Limits and methods of measurement*¹

CISPR 16-1-1, *Specification for radio disturbance and immunity measuring apparatus and methods – Part 1-1: Radio disturbance and immunity measuring apparatus – Measuring apparatus*

IEC 60050-161:1990, *International Electrotechnical Vocabulary (IEV) – Part 161: Electromagnetic compatibility*

¹ 5th edition (2009). This 5th edition has been replaced in 2016 by a 6th Edition CISPR 11, Industrial, scientific and medical equipment – Radio-frequency disturbance characteristics – Limits and methods of measurement.

IEC 60050-300:2001, *International Electrotechnical Vocabulary (IEV) – Electrical and electronic measurements and measuring instruments – Part 311: General terms relating to measurements – Part 312: General terms relating to electrical measurements – Part 313: Types of electrical measuring instruments – Part 314: Specific terms according to the type of instrument*

ISO/IEC Guide 99:2007, *International vocabulary of metrology – Basic and general concepts and associated terms (VIM)*