SVENSK STANDARD

SS-EN IEC 62264-2, utg 3:2026

Fastställd	Sida	Ansvarig kommitté
2026-09-23	1 (479)	SEK TK 65

© Copyright SEK Svensk Elstandard. Reproduction in any form without permission is prohibited.

Integrering av processtyrning och affärssystem – Del 2: Objektmodeller och relationer för gränssnitt mellan produktionsverksamhet och affärsfunktioner

Enterprise-Control System Integration – Part 2: Object models and relationships for interfaces between manufacturing operations and business functions

Som svensk standard gäller europastandarden EN IEC 62264-2:2026. Den svenska standarden innehåller den officiella engelska språkversionen av EN IEC 62264-2:2026.

Nationellt förord

Europastandarden EN IEC 62264-2:2026

består av:

– **europastandardens ikraftsättningsdokument,** utarbetat inom CENELEC
– **IEC 62264-2, Third edition, 2026 - Enterprise-Control System Integration – Part 2: Object models and relationships for interfaces between manufacturing operations and business functions**

utarbetad inom International Electrotechnical Commission, IEC.

Tidigare fastställd svensk standard SS-EN 62264-2, utg 2:2013 med eventuella tillägg, ändringar och rättelser gäller ej fr o m 2029-04-30.

ICS 25.040.40; 35.240.50

Denna standard är fastställd av SEK Svensk Elstandard,
som också kan lämna upplysningar om **sakinnehållet** i standarden.
Postadress: Box 1042, 172 21 Sundbyberg
Telefon: 08 - 444 14 00.
E-post: sek@elstandard.se. Internet: elstandard.se

Standarder underlättar utvecklingen och höjer elsäkerheten

Det finns många fördelar med att ha gemensamma tekniska regler för bl a mätning, säkerhet och provning och för utförande, skötsel och dokumentation av elprodukter och elanläggningar.

Genom att utforma sådana standarder blir säkerhetsfordringar tydliga och utvecklingskostnaderna rimliga samtidigt som marknadens acceptans för produkten eller tjänsten ökar.

Många standarder inom elområdet beskriver tekniska lösningar och metoder som åstadkommer den elsäkerhet som föreskrivs av svenska myndigheter och av EU.

SEK är Sveriges röst i standardiseringsarbetet inom elområdet

SEK Svensk Elstandard svarar för standardiseringen inom elområdet i Sverige och samordnar svensk medverkan i internationell och europeisk standardisering. SEK är en ideell organisation med frivilligt deltagande från svenska myndigheter, företag och organisationer som vill medverka till och påverka utformningen av tekniska regler inom elektrotekniken.

SEK samordnar svenska intressenters medverkan i SEKs tekniska kommittéer och stödjer svenska experters medverkan i internationella och europeiska projekt.

Stora delar av arbetet sker internationellt

Utformningen av standarder sker i allt väsentligt i internationellt och europeiskt samarbete. SEK är svensk nationalkommitté av International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) och Comité Européen de Normalisation Electrotechnique (CENELEC).

Standardiseringsarbetet inom SEK är organiserat i referensgrupper bestående av ett antal tekniska kommittéer som speglar hur arbetet inom IEC och CENELEC är organiserat.

Arbetet i de tekniska kommittéerna är öppet för alla svenska organisationer, företag, institutioner, myndigheter och statliga verk. Den årliga avgiften för deltagandet och intäkter från försäljning finansierar SEKs standardiseringsverksamhet och medlemsavgift till IEC och CENELEC.

Var med och påverka!

Den som deltar i SEKs tekniska kommittéarbete har möjlighet att påverka framtida standarder och får tidig tillgång till information och dokumentation om utvecklingen inom sitt teknikområde. Arbetet och kontakterna med kollegor, kunder och konkurrenter kan gynnsamt påverka enskilda företags affärsutveckling och bidrar till deltagarnas egen kompetensutveckling.

Du som vill dra nytta av dessa möjligheter är välkommen att kontakta SEKs kansli för mer information.

SEK Svensk Elstandard

Box 1042
172 21 Sundbyberg
Tel 08-444 14 00
elstandard.se

EUROPEAN STANDARD

NORME EUROPÉENNE

EUROPÄISCHE NORM

EN IEC 62264-2

April 2026

ICS 25.040.40; 35.240.50

Supersedes EN 62264-2:2013

English Version

Enterprise-Control System Integration - Part 2: Object models and relationships for interfaces between manufacturing operations and business functions (IEC 62264-2:2026)

Intégration des systèmes entreprise-contrôle - Partie 2: Modèles d'objets et relations pour les interfaces entre les opérations de fabrication et les fonctions métier (IEC 62264-2:2026)

Integration von Unternehmensführungs- und Leitsystemen - Teil 2: Objektmodelle und Beziehungen für Schnittstellen zwischen Fertigungsabläufen und Geschäftsfunktionen (IEC 62264-2:2026)

This European Standard was approved by CENELEC on 2026-04-10. CENELEC members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration.

Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre or to any CENELEC member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CENELEC member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CENELEC members are the national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Türkiye and the United Kingdom.

European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization
Comité Européen de Normalisation Electrotechnique
Europäisches Komitee für Elektrotechnische Normung

CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels

© 2026 CENELEC All rights of exploitation in any form and by any means reserved worldwide for CENELEC Members.

Ref. No. EN IEC 62264-2:2026 E

SEK Svensk Elstandard

SS-EN IEC 62264-2, utg 3:2026

European foreword

The text of document 65E/1192/FDIS, future edition 3 of IEC 62264-2, prepared by SC 65E "Devices and integration in enterprise systems" of IEC/TC 65 "Industrial-process measurement, control and automation" was submitted to the IEC-CENELEC parallel vote and approved by CENELEC as EN IEC 62264-2:2026.

The following dates are fixed:

- latest date by which the document has to be implemented at national level by publication of an identical national standard or by endorsement (dop) 2027-04-30
- latest date by which the national standards conflicting with the document have to be withdrawn (dow) 2029-04-30

This document supersedes EN 62264-2:2013 and all of its amendments and corrigenda (if any).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CENELEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any feedback and questions on this document should be directed to the users' national committee. A complete listing of these bodies can be found on the CENELEC website.

Endorsement notice

The text of the International Standard IEC 62264-2:2026 was approved by CENELEC as a European Standard without any modification.

In the official version, for Bibliography, the following notes have to be added for the standard indicated:

IEC 61512 (series)	NOTE	Approved as EN 61512 (series)
IEC 62682	NOTE	Approved as EN IEC 62682
ISO 19136-1	NOTE	Approved as EN ISO 19136-1

Annex ZA
(normative)

Normative references to international publications with their corresponding European publications

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

NOTE 1 Where an International Publication has been modified by common modifications, indicated by (mod), the relevant EN/HD applies.

NOTE 2 Up-to-date information on the latest versions of the European Standards listed in this annex is available here: www.cencenelec.eu.

Publication	Year	Title	EN/HD	Year
IEC 62264-1	2013	Enterprise-control system integration - Part 1: Models and terminology	EN 62264-1	2013
IEC 62474	-	Material declaration for products of and for the electrotechnical industry	EN IEC 62474	-
ISO/IEC 19501	-	Information technology - Open Distributed Processing - Unified Modeling Language (UML) Version 1.4.2	-	-
ISO/IEC 19505-1	-	Information technology - Object Management Group Unified Modeling Language (OMG UML) – Part 1: Infrastructure	-	-
ISO/IEC 19505-2	-	Information technology - Object Management Group Unified Modeling Language (OMG UML) – Part 2: Superstructure	-	-
ISO/IEC Guide 98-3	2008	Uncertainty of measurement - Part 3: Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement (GUM:1995)	-	-
ISO/IEC Guide 99	2007	International vocabulary of metrology - Basic and general concepts and associated terms (VIM)	-	-
ISO 10303-1	-	Industrial automation systems and integration - Product data representation and exchange – Part 1: Overview and fundamental principles	-	-
ISO 15000-5	-	Electronic Business Extensible Markup Language (ebXML) – Part 5: Core Components Specification (CCS)	-	-

IEC 62264-2

Edition 3.0 2026-03

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

Enterprise-Control System Integration -
Part 2: Object models and relationships for interfaces between manufacturing operations and business functions

ICS 25.040.40; 35.240.50

ISBN 978-2-8327-1092-0

CONTENTS

FOREWORD ... 16
INTRODUCTION ... 19
1 Scope ... 20
2 Normative references ... 20
3 Terms, definitions, abbreviated terms and conventions ... 21
3.1 Terms, definitions and abbreviated terms ... 21
3.2 Abbreviated terms ... 22
3.3 Conventions ... 22
3.3.1 General ... 22
3.3.2 Conceptual object model vs. logical data model and physical data models for implementation ... 23
3.3.3 UML notation in object models ... 23
3.3.4 Relationship types and name convention ... 25
3.3.5 Object color convention ... 35
3.3.6 Relationship table ... 35
3.3.7 Relationship role table ... 37
3.3.8 Object attribute table ... 38
3.3.9 Relationships between resource reference objects in operations management information models and resource models ... 39
4 Manufacturing operations management information models ... 51
4.1 Field of application of information models ... 51
4.2 Relationships of common information models to operations management information models ... 52
4.3 Cross-model relationships between conceptual operations management information models ... 53
4.4 Cross-model relationships for MOM activity context in information exchanges ... 59
4.5 Attributes of an object in an information model ... 61
4.5.1 General ... 61
4.5.2 Minimum object attribute sets ... 61
4.5.3 Data types of object attributes ... 61
4.5.4 Object attribute extensibility ... 62
4.5.5 Common header attributes for primary objects and property objects ... 62
4.5.6 Unit of measure attribute ... 69
4.5.7 Comment attribute ... 70
4.5.8 Personnel identification manifest attribute ... 71
4.5.9 Value attribute and types ... 73
4.5.10 Measurement uncertainty sub-properties for value and quantity attributes ... 76
5 Common object models ... 78
5.1 Hierarchy scope information ... 78
5.2 Spatial definition information ... 79
5.3 Operational location information ... 82
5.3.1 Operational location model ... 82
5.3.2 Operational location class ... 83
5.3.3 Operational location class property ... 84
5.3.4 Operational location ... 86
5.3.5 Operational location property ... 87

5.4 Personnel information, 88
5.4.1 Personnel model 88
5.4.2 Personnel class 90
5.4.3 Personnel class property 91
5.4.4 Person 93
5.4.5 Person property 95
5.5 Role-based equipment information 96
5.5.1 Role-based equipment model 96
5.5.2 Equipment class 98
5.5.3 Equipment class property 100
5.5.4 Equipment 101
5.5.5 Equipment property 103
5.6 Physical asset information 105
5.6.1 Physical asset model 105
5.6.2 Physical asset class 107
5.6.3 Physical asset class property 109
5.6.4 Physical asset 111
5.6.5 Physical asset property 113
5.6.6 Equipment asset mapping 114
5.7 Material information 115
5.7.1 Material model 115
5.7.2 Material class 118
5.7.3 Material class property 121
5.7.4 Material definition 123
5.7.5 Material definition property 125
5.7.6 Material lot 127
5.7.7 Material lot property 131
5.7.8 Material sublot 133
5.7.9 Assemblies 136
5.8 Process segment information 138
5.8.1 Process segment model 138
5.8.2 Process segment 143
5.8.3 Process segment parameter 147
5.8.4 Personnel segment specification 148
5.8.5 Personnel segment specification property 150
5.8.6 Equipment segment specification 153
5.8.7 Equipment segment specification property 155
5.8.8 Physical asset segment specification 157
5.8.9 Physical asset segment specification property 160
5.8.10 Material segment specification 162
5.8.11 Material segment specification property 168
5.8.12 Segment dependency 170
5.9 Operations test information 173
5.9.1 Operations test model 173
5.9.2 Operations test requirement 175
5.9.3 Testable object and testable object property 176
5.9.4 Test specification 178
5.9.5 Test specification property 181

5.9.6 Test specification criteria ... 182
5.9.7 Evaluated property ... 183
5.9.8 Test result ... 184
5.9.9 Property measurement ... 186
5.9.10 Resource actual ... 188
5.10 Operations record information ... 189
5.10.1 Operations record model (abstract) ... 189
5.10.2 Operations record specification (abstract) ... 190
5.10.3 Operations record template (abstract) ... 194
5.10.4 Operations record entry template (abstract) ... 197
5.11 Operations event information ... 199
5.11.1 Operations event model ... 199
5.11.2 Operations event class ... 201
5.11.3 Operations event class property ... 203
5.11.4 Operations event class record specification ... 205
5.11.5 Operations event definition ... 208
5.11.6 Operations event definition property ... 213
5.11.7 Operations event definition record specification ... 214
5.11.8 Operations event ... 218
5.11.9 Operations event property ... 222
5.11.10 Operations event record ... 223
5.11.11 Operations event record entry ... 225
5.12 Containers, tools, and software ... 228
5.12.1 Containers ... 228
5.12.2 Tools ... 228
5.12.3 Software ... 229
6 Operations management information ... 229
6.1 Operations definition information ... 229
6.1.1 Operations definition model ... 229
6.1.2 Operations definition ... 234
6.1.3 Operations material bill ... 238
6.1.4 Operations material bill item ... 240
6.1.5 Operations segment ... 242
6.1.6 Parameter specification ... 246
6.1.7 Personnel specification ... 248
6.1.8 Personnel specification property ... 251
6.1.9 Equipment specification ... 254
6.1.10 Equipment specification property ... 256
6.1.11 Physical asset specification ... 259
6.1.12 Physical asset specification property ... 261
6.1.13 Material specification ... 264
6.1.14 Material specification property ... 268
6.1.15 Segment dependency ... 271
6.2 Operations schedule information ... 273
6.2.1 Operations schedule model ... 273
6.2.2 Operations schedule ... 277
6.2.3 Operations request ... 280
6.2.4 Segment requirement ... 282

6.2.5 Segment parameter ... 286
6.2.6 Personnel requirement ... 288
6.2.7 Personnel requirement property ... 292
6.2.8 Equipment requirement ... 294
6.2.9 Equipment requirement property ... 297
6.2.10 Physical asset requirement ... 299
6.2.11 Physical asset requirement property ... 303
6.2.12 Material requirement ... 305
6.2.13 Material requirement property ... 310
6.2.14 Requested segment response ... 313
6.3 Operations performance information ... 313
6.3.1 Operations performance model ... 313
6.3.2 Operations performance ... 317
6.3.3 Operations response ... 320
6.3.4 Segment response ... 322
6.3.5 Segment data ... 325
6.3.6 Personnel actual ... 327
6.3.7 Personnel actual property ... 329
6.3.8 Equipment actual ... 331
6.3.9 Equipment actual property ... 334
6.3.10 Physical asset actual ... 336
6.3.11 Physical asset actual property ... 338
6.3.12 Material actual ... 340
6.3.13 Material actual property ... 344
6.4 Operations capability information ... 346
6.4.1 Operations capability model ... 346
6.4.2 Operations capability ... 348
6.4.3 Personnel capability ... 351
6.4.4 Personnel capability property ... 354
6.4.5 Equipment capability ... 356
6.4.6 Equipment capability property ... 359
6.4.7 Physical asset capability ... 361
6.4.8 Physical asset capability property ... 364
6.4.9 Material capability ... 365
6.4.10 Material capability property ... 370
6.5 Process segment capability information ... 372
6.5.1 Process segment capability model ... 372
6.5.2 Process segment capability ... 374
6.6 Operations segment capability information ... 378
6.6.1 Operations segment capability model ... 378
6.6.2 Operations segment capability ... 380
7 Cross-reference between IEC 62264-1 data flow models and the corresponding IEC 62264-2 object model ... 384
8 List of objects ... 388
9 Conformance ... 391
Annex A (informative) Value syntax in the value attribute ... 392
Annex B (informative) Implementation options for specifying values in unit of measurement attribute ... 393

B.1 Specifying value(s) for the “value unit of measurement” attribute in operations-related class and definition property objects (IEC 62264-2) and work-related definition and type property objects (IEC 62264-4) 393
B.2 Specifying value(s) for the “unit of measurement” attribute where no object specifies permissible values 394
Annex C (informative) Use cases and examples 398
C.1 Use case and examples 398
C.2 Application of the standard 403
C.3 Database mapping of the models 404
C.4 XML usage 405
Annex D (informative) Example data sets 410
D.1 General 410
D.2 Material model example 410
D.3 Equipment model and hierarchy scope examples 414
D.4 Personnel model example 418
D.5 Operations capability example 420
D.6 Operations performance example 421
D.7 Operations test model use case examples 422
D.8 Example of planning and response state attributes and defined values 431
D.9 Operations event definition record specification example 433
D.10 Resource acquired example 434
D.11 Work commenced/redirected/completed/aborted example 437
Annex E (informative) Questions and answers about object use 439
E.1 General 439
E.2 Inflow materials 439
E.3 Multiple products per process segment 439
E.4 Process segments vs. operations segments 440
E.5 Segment parameter references 441
E.6 Use of hierarchy scope in parameter objects 441
E.7 Use of spatial definition in personnel objects 442
E.8 How class name and property IDs are used to identify elements 443
E.9 Possible capability overcounts 444
E.10 Routing and process capability 445
E.11 Product and process capability dependencies 447
E.12 Representation of dependencies 448
E.13 How a material transfer is handled 449
E.14 How to extend the standard when properties cannot be used 449
E.15 Modeling of tools as equipment and materials 449
E.16 What is difference between equipment and a physical asset? 450
E.17 How should dependencies in the operations schedule and operations response be handled? 450
E.18 How are “mixed” operations types used? 451
E.19 What is the relationship between this standard and MESA International’s B2MML? 452
Annex F (informative) Implementation considerations for inheritance and persistence of data exchange object models 453
F.1 Object inheritance considerations 453
F.2 Record inheritance 454
F.3 Object persistence considerations 455

Annex G (informative) Logical information flows 458
Annex H (informative) Conceptual model to implementation model transformations 460
Annex I (informative) Conceptual model to implementation data model examples 464
I.1 General 464
I.2 Conceptual object model example: personnel model 464
I.3 MESA – B2MML 7.0 (XSD) implementation model 466
I.4 Simplified XSD implementation model 467
I.5 Object Management Group (OMG) – Interface Definition Language (IDL) – Common Data Representation (CDR) implementation model 468
I.6 OPC Unified Architecture (UA) implementation model 468
I.7 Flat buffers – Interactive Data Language (IDL) implementation model 470
I.8 Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) – JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) – Schema implementation model 471
I.9 Open Source Robotics Foundation (OSRF) as so known as Open Robotics, Robot Operating System (ROS) message description specifications (MDS) 471
I.10 World Wide Web Consortium (W3C) Resource Description Framework (RDF) schema 472
I.11 SQL database model 472
I.12 Transport Protocols 472
Bibliography 474

Figure 1 – Example of aggregation relationship notation 27
Figure 2 – Example of composition relationship notation 28
Figure 3 – Example: Object model using the simplified UML diagram for an information model, personnel model 36
Figure 4 – Simplified UML model convention for cross-model relationships between resource objects 39
Figure 5 – Operations information models supporting operations management activities 51
Figure 6 – Information and object model inter-relationships for operations management information exchanges 54
Figure 7 – Data flow diagram for defined cross-model MOM relationships between operations management and work information models 60
Figure 8 – Hierarchy scope model 78
Figure 9 – Example, value attribute for WKT in 2D (3D is equally supported) 81
Figure 10 – Operational location model 82
Figure 11 – Personnel model 89
Figure 12 – Role-based equipment model 97
Figure 13 – Physical asset model 106
Figure 14 – Physical asset and equipment relationships 107
Figure 15 – Material model 116
Figure 16 – Example, material with an assembly 138
Figure 17 – Process segment model 139
Figure 18 – Example, Segment dependency 173
Figure 19 – Operations test model 174
Figure 20 – Operations record model (abstract) 190
Figure 21 – Operations event model 200

Figure 22 – Example, Relationship of operations event definition with operations events 209
Figure 23 – Operations definition model 230
Figure 24 – Operations schedule model 273
Figure 25 – Operations performance model 314
Figure 26 – Operations capability model 347
Figure 27 – Process segment capability model 373
Figure 28 – Operations segment capability model 379
Figure C.1 – Personnel model 399
Figure C.2 – Instances of a person class 401
Figure C.3 – UML model for class and class properties 401
Figure C.4 – Class property 402
Figure C.5 – Instances of a person properties 402
Figure C.6 – Instances of person and person properties 403
Figure C.7 – XML schema for a person object 406
Figure C.8 – XML schema for person properties 407
Figure C.9 – Example of person and person property 408
Figure C.10 – Example of person class information 408
Figure C.11 – Adaptor to map different property IDs and values 409
Figure D.1 – Example of simplified job order state model 433
Figure D.2 – Typical MOM functions subscribing to the *ResourceAcquired* event 434
Figure D.3 – Typical MOM functions subscribing to the *WorkCommenced, WorkRedirected, WorkCompleted* and *WorkAborted* events 437
Figure E.1 – Class and property IDs used to identify elements 443
Figure E.2 – A property defining overlapping subsets of the capability 445
Figure E.3 – Routing for a product 446
Figure E.4 – Routing with co-products and material dependencies 446
Figure E.5 – Product and process capability relationships 447
Figure E.6 – Time-based dependencies 448
Figure E.7 – Mixed operation example 451
Figure G.1 – Enterprise to manufacturing system conceptual information flows 458
Figure G.2 – Conceptual information flows among multiple systems 459
Figure I.1 – Conceptual object model example: personnel model 464
Figure I.2 – OPC UA Specification Notation 469
Figure I.3 – OPC UA representation of the personnel model 470

Table 1 – Simplified UML symbols and notation used in object models 24
Table 2 – Relationship role name template with examples for each relationship name by relationship type 30
Table 3 – Object color convention 35
Table 4 – Example: Relationship table for an object model, personnel model relationships 36
Table 5 – Example: Relationship role table, Personnel class relationship roles 37
Table 6 – Example: Usage of object attribute table 38

Table 7 – Detailed UML model relationships in Figure 4 40
Table 8 – Resource reference object relationship roles 42
Table 9 – Example: Personnel requirement cross-model relationships to the personnel model (resource group and resource) 43
Table 10 – Example: Personnel requirement cross-model relationships to the personnel reference objects 43
Table 11 – Resource reference object property relationship roles 44
Table 12 – Example: Personnel requirement property cross-model relationships to the personnel model 44
Table 13 – Example: Personnel requirement property cross-model relationships to the personnel reference object properties 45
Table 14 – Resource group relationship roles 45
Table 15 – Example: Personnel requirement cross-model relationships to the personnel class 46
Table 16 – Resource group property relationship roles 46
Table 17 – Example: Personnel requirement property cross-model relationships with the personnel class property 46
Table 18 – Resource relationship roles 47
Table 19 – Example: Personnel requirement cross-model relationships to the person 47
Table 20 – Resource property relationship roles 47
Table 21 – Example: Personnel requirement property cross-model relationships with the person property 48
Table 22 – Base resource reference object relationship roles 48
Table 23 – Example: Personnel requirement cross-model relationships to the personnel specification 48
Table 24 – Base resource reference object property relationship roles 49
Table 25 – Example: Personnel requirement property cross-model relationships to the personnel specification property 49
Table 26 – Applied resource reference object relationship roles 49
Table 27 – Example: Personnel actual cross-model relationships to the personnel requirement 50
Table 28 – Applied resource reference object property relationship roles 50
Table 29 – Example: Personnel actual property cross-model relationships to the personnel requirement property 50
Table 30 – Operations management and work information models cross-model relationships to resource information models and base/applied resource reference objects 55
Table 31 – Cross-model MOM relationship description 60
Table 32 – Common header attributes for a primary object 63
Table 33 – Common header attributes for a property object 67
Table 34 – Class, definition, or type property objects with permissible value and value attributes 69
Table 35 – Comment sub-attributes 70
Table 36 – Personnel identification manifest sub-attributes 72
Table 37 – Commonly used CCTS types for exchange 74
Table 38 – Hierarchy scope relationships 78
Table 39 – Hierarchy scope relationship roles 79

Table 40 – Hierarchy scope attributes 79
Table 41 – Attributes of spatial definition 80
Table 42 – Operational location model relationships 82
Table 43 – Operational location class relationship roles 84
Table 44 – Operational location class attributes 84
Table 45 – Operational location class property relationship roles 85
Table 46 – Operational location class property attributes 85
Table 47 – Operational location relationship roles 86
Table 48 – Operational location attributes 87
Table 49 – Operational location property relationship roles 88
Table 50 – Operational location property attributes 88
Table 51 – Personnel model relationships 89
Table 52 – Personnel class relationship roles 90
Table 53 – Personnel class attributes 91
Table 54 – Personnel class property relationship roles 92
Table 55 – Personnel class property attributes 93
Table 56 – Person relationship roles 94
Table 57 – Person attributes 94
Table 58 – Person property relationship roles 95
Table 59 – Person property attributes 96
Table 60 – Role-based equipment model relationships 97
Table 61 – Equipment class relationship roles 98
Table 62 – Equipment class attributes 99
Table 63 – Equipment class property relationship roles 100
Table 64 – Equipment class property attributes 101
Table 65 – Equipment relationship roles 102
Table 66 – Equipment attributes 103
Table 67 – Equipment property relationships 104
Table 68 – Equipment property attributes 105
Table 69 – Physical asset model relationships 106
Table 70 – Physical asset and equipment relationships 107
Table 71 – Physical asset class relationship roles 108
Table 72 – Physical asset class attributes 109
Table 73 – Physical asset class property relationship roles 110
Table 74 – Physical asset class property attributes 110
Table 75 – Physical asset relationship roles 111
Table 76 – Physical asset attributes 112
Table 77 – Physical asset property relationship roles 113
Table 78 – Physical asset property attributes 114
Table 79 – Equipment asset mapping relationship roles 114
Table 80 – Equipment asset mapping attributes 115
Table 81 – Material model relationships 117
Table 82 – Material class relationship roles 118

Table 83 – Material class attributes 119
Table 84 – Material class property relationship roles 122
Table 85 – Material class property attributes 122
Table 86 – Material definition relationship roles 123
Table 87 – Material definition attributes 124
Table 88 – Material definition property relationship roles 126
Table 89 – Material definition property attributes 127
Table 90 – Material lot relationship roles 128
Table 91 – Material lot attributes 129
Table 92 – Material lot property relationship roles 132
Table 93 – Material lot property attributes 132
Table 94 – Material sublot relationship roles 134
Table 95 – Material sublot attributes 134
Table 96 – Process segment model relationships 140
Table 97 – Process segment relationship roles 144
Table 98 – Process segment attributes 146
Table 99 – Process segment parameter relationship roles 147
Table 100 – Process segment parameter attributes 148
Table 101 – Personnel segment specification relationship roles 148
Table 102 – Personnel segment specification attributes 150
Table 103 – Personnel segment specification property relationship roles 151
Table 104 – Personnel segment specification property attributes 152
Table 105 – Equipment segment specification relationship roles 153
Table 106 – Equipment segment specification attributes 154
Table 107 – Equipment segment specification property relationship roles 155
Table 108 – Equipment segment specification property attributes 157
Table 109 – Physical asset segment specification relationship roles 158
Table 110 – Physical asset segment specification attributes 159
Table 111 – Physical asset segment specification property relationship roles 160
Table 112 – Physical asset segment specification property attributes 161
Table 113 – Material segment specification relationship roles 162
Table 114 – Material segment specification attributes 164
Table 115 – Material segment specification property relationships 168
Table 116 – Material segment specification property attributes 170
Table 117 – Segment dependency relationship roles 171
Table 118 – Segment dependency attributes 171
Table 119 – Operations test model relationships 174
Table 120 – Instances of operations test requirement 175
Table 121 – Operations test requirement relationship roles in the operations test model 176
Table 122 – Associated resource objects as testable object / testable object property pair 177
Table 123 – Testable object relationship roles in operations test model 177
Table 124 – Testable object property relationship roles in the operations test model 178

Table 125 – Test specification relationship roles 179
Table 126 – Test specification attributes 179
Table 127 – Test specification property relationship roles 181
Table 128 – Test specification property attributes 181
Table 129 – Test specification criteria relationship roles 182
Table 130 – Test specification criteria attributes 182
Table 131 – Evaluated property relationship roles 184
Table 132 – Evaluated property attributes 184
Table 133 – Test result relationship roles 185
Table 134 – Test result attributes 185
Table 135 – Property measurement relationship roles 187
Table 136 – Property measurement attributes 187
Table 137 – Instances of resource actual 188
Table 138 – Resource actual relationship roles in operations test model 189
Table 139 – Operations record model (abstract) relationships 190
Table 140 – Operations record specification relationship roles 191
Table 141 – Operations record specification attributes 192
Table 142 – Operations record template relationship roles 195
Table 143 – Operations record template attributes 195
Table 144 – Operations record entry template relationships 197
Table 145 – Operations record entry template attributes 198
Table 146 – Operations Event model relationships 200
Table 147 – Operations event class relationship roles 202
Table 148 – Operations event class attributes 202
Table 149 – Example of operations event class locked hierarchy 203
Table 150 – Operations event class property relationship roles 204
Table 151 – Operations event class property attributes 204
Table 152 – Operations event class record specification relationship roles 206
Table 153 – Operations event class record specification attributes 207
Table 154 – Operations event definition relationship roles 209
Table 155 – Operations event definition attributes 210
Table 156 – Operations event definition property relationship roles 213
Table 157 – Operations event definition property attributes 214
Table 158 – Operations event definition record specification relationship roles 215
Table 159 – Operations event definition record specification attributes 216
Table 160 – Operations event relationship roles 219
Table 161 – Operations event attributes 219
Table 162 – Operations event property relationship roles 222
Table 163 – Operations event property attributes 223
Table 164 – Operations event record relationship roles 223
Table 165 – Operations event record attributes 224
Table 166 – Operations event record entry relationships 226
Table 167 – Operations event record entry attributes 227

Table 168 – Operations definition model relationships 231
Table 169 – Operations definition relationship roles 236
Table 170 – Operations definition attributes 237
Table 171 – Operations material bill relationship roles 239
Table 172 – Operations material bill attributes 239
Table 173 – Operations material bill item relationship roles 240
Table 174 – Operations material bill item attributes 240
Table 175 – Operations segment relationship roles 243
Table 176 – Operations segment attributes 245
Table 177 – Parameter specification relationship roles 247
Table 178 – Parameter specification attributes 248
Table 179 – Personnel specification relationship roles 249
Table 180 – Personnel specification attributes 251
Table 181 – Personnel specification property relationship roles 252
Table 182 – Personnel specification property attributes 253
Table 183 – Equipment specification relationship roles 254
Table 184 – Equipment specification attributes 256
Table 185 – Equipment specification property relationship roles 257
Table 186 – Equipment specification property attributes 258
Table 187 – Physical asset specification relationship roles 259
Table 188 – Physical asset specification attributes 261
Table 189 – Physical asset specification property relationship roles 262
Table 190 – Physical asset specification property attributes 263
Table 191 – Material specification relationship roles 264
Table 192 – Material specification attributes 266
Table 193 – Material specification property relationship roles 269
Table 194 – Material specification property attributes 270
Table 195 – Segment dependency relationship roles 271
Table 196 – Segment dependency attributes 272
Table 197 – Operations schedule model relationships 274
Table 198 – Operations schedule relationship roles 277
Table 199 – Operations schedule attributes 278
Table 200 – Operations request relationship roles 280
Table 201 – Operations request attributes 281
Table 202 – Segment requirement relationship roles 283
Table 203 – Segment requirement attributes 285
Table 204 – Segment parameter relationship roles 287
Table 205 – Segment parameter attributes 288
Table 206 – Personnel requirement relationship roles 289
Table 207 – Personnel requirement attributes 291
Table 208 – Personnel requirement property relationship roles 292
Table 209 – Personnel requirement property attributes 293
Table 210 – Equipment requirement relationship roles 295

Table 211 – Equipment requirement attributes 296
Table 212 – Equipment requirement property relationships 297
Table 213 – Equipment requirement property attributes 299
Table 214 – Physical asset requirement relationship roles 300
Table 215 – Physical asset requirement attributes 301
Table 216 – Physical asset requirement property relationship roles 303
Table 217 – Physical asset requirement property attributes 304
Table 218 – Material requirement relationship roles 305
Table 219 – Material requirement attributes 307
Table 220 – Material requirement property relationship roles 311
Table 221 – Material requirement property attributes 312
Table 222 – Requested segment response relationship roles 313
Table 223 – Operations performance model relationships 315
Table 224 – Operations performance relationship roles 318
Table 225 – Operations performance attributes 318
Table 226 – Operations response relationship roles 320
Table 227 – Operations response attributes 321
Table 228 – Segment response relationship roles 323
Table 229 – Segment response attributes 324
Table 230 – Segment data relationship roles 326
Table 231 – Segment data attributes 326
Table 232 – Personnel actual relationship roles 328
Table 233 – Personnel actual attributes 329
Table 234 – Personnel actual property relationship roles 330
Table 235 – Personnel actual property attributes 331
Table 236 – Equipment actual relationship roles 332
Table 237 – Equipment actual attributes 333
Table 238 – Equipment actual property relationship roles 334
Table 239 – Equipment actual property attributes 335
Table 240 – Physical asset actual relationship roles 336
Table 241 – Physical asset actual attributes 337
Table 242 – Physical asset actual property relationship roles 339
Table 243 – Physical asset actual property attributes 340
Table 244 – Material actual relationship roles 341
Table 245 – Material actual attributes 342
Table 246 – Material actual property relationship roles 345
Table 247 – Material actual property attributes 346
Table 248 – Operations capability model relationships 347
Table 249 – Operations capability relationship roles 349
Table 250 – Operations capability attributes 349
Table 251 – Personnel capability relationship roles 352
Table 252 – Personnel capability attributes 353
Table 253 – Personnel capability property relationship roles 355

Table 254 – Personnel capability property attributes 355
Table 255 – Equipment capability relationship roles 356
Table 256 – Equipment capability attributes 357
Table 257 – Equipment capability property relationships 360
Table 258 – Equipment capability property attributes 360
Table 259 – Physical asset capability relationship roles 361
Table 260 – Physical asset capability attributes 362
Table 261 – Physical asset capability property relationship roles 364
Table 262 – Physical asset capability property attributes 365
Table 263 – Material capability relationship roles 366
Table 264 – Material capability attributes 367
Table 265 – Material capability property relationship roles 371
Table 266 – Material capability property attributes 372
Table 267 – Process segment capability model relationships 374
Table 268 – Process segment capability relationship roles 375
Table 269 – Process segment capability attributes 376
Table 270 – Operations segment capability model relationships 379
Table 271 – Operations segment capability relationship roles 381
Table 272 – operations segment capability attributes 382
Table 273 – IEC 62264-1:2013 elements to IEC 62264-2 cross-reference 384
Table 274 – Common resource objects 388
Table B.1 – Class, definition, or type property objects defining permissible values for value UoM attribute 394
Table B.2 – Objects with an attribute pair containing a UoM attribute with no defined permissible value 395
Table C.1 – Personnel model relationships 399
Table C.2 – Person relationship roles 400
Table C.3 – Person attributes 400
Table C.4 – Database structure for *person* 404
Table C.5 – Database structure for person property 404
Table C.6 – Database for *person* with data 404
Table C.7 – Database for *person property* with data 405
Table D.1 – Pulp and paper equipment model example 414
Table D.2 – Semiconductor manufacturing equipment model example 417
Table D.3 – Planning and job order execution states and defined values of IEC 62264 432
Table D.4 – Operations event definition for the operations event, *ResourceAcquired* 435
Table D.5 – Entry set 1 for an operations event definition record specification 435
Table D.6 – Entry set 2 for minimal operations event definition record specification 436
Table D.7 – Entry set 3 for an operations event definition record specification 436
Table D.8 – Entry set 4 for operations event definition record specification 4 437
Table D.9 – Operations event definition record specification for *WorkCommenced* and *WorkRedirected* 438
Table D.10 – Operations event definition record specification for *WorkCompleted* and *WorkAborted* 438

Table E.1 – Definition of segment types 441
Table E.2 – Examples of materials and equipment 450
Table E.3 – Equipment and physical assets 450
Table F.1 – Common defined states for child or member dataset to differentiate types of records 455
Table F.2 – Behavior of records when creating, modifying, or deleting a record, depending on its initial dataset state 455
Table I.1 – Example: Personnel class relationships 465
Table I.2 – Example: Personnel class attributes 466

INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

_____

Enterprise-Control System Integration - Part 2: Object models and relationships for interfaces between manufacturing operations and business functions

FOREWORD

1) The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is a worldwide organization for standardization comprising all national electrotechnical committees (IEC National Committees). The object of IEC is to promote international co-operation on all questions concerning standardization in the electrical and electronic fields. To this end and in addition to other activities, IEC publishes International Standards, Technical Specifications, Technical Reports, Publicly Available Specifications (PAS) and Guides (hereafter referred to as “IEC Publication(s)”). Their preparation is entrusted to technical committees; any IEC National Committee interested in the subject dealt with may participate in this preparatory work. International, governmental and non-governmental organizations liaising with the IEC also participate in this preparation. IEC collaborates closely with the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) in accordance with conditions determined by agreement between the two organizations.
2) The formal decisions or agreements of IEC on technical matters express, as nearly as possible, an international consensus of opinion on the relevant subjects since each technical committee has representation from all interested IEC National Committees.
3) IEC Publications have the form of recommendations for international use and are accepted by IEC National Committees in that sense. While all reasonable efforts are made to ensure that the technical content of IEC Publications is accurate, IEC cannot be held responsible for the way in which they are used or for any misinterpretation by any end user.
4) In order to promote international uniformity, IEC National Committees undertake to apply IEC Publications transparently to the maximum extent possible in their national and regional publications. Any divergence between any IEC Publication and the corresponding national or regional publication shall be clearly indicated in the latter.
5) IEC itself does not provide any attestation of conformity. Independent certification bodies provide conformity assessment services and, in some areas, access to IEC marks of conformity. IEC is not responsible for any services carried out by independent certification bodies.
6) All users should ensure that they have the latest edition of this publication.
7) No liability shall attach to IEC or its directors, employees, servants, or agents including individual experts and members of its technical committees and IEC National Committees for any personal injury, property damage or other damage of any nature whatsoever, whether direct or indirect, or for costs (including legal fees) and expenses arising out of the publication, use of, or reliance upon, this IEC Publication or any other IEC Publications.
8) Attention is drawn to the Normative references cited in this publication. Use of the referenced publications is indispensable for the correct application of this publication.
9) IEC draws attention to the possibility that the implementation of this document may involve the use of (a) patent(s). IEC takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of any claimed patent rights in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, IEC had not received notice of (a) patent(s), which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at https://patents.iec.ch. IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

International Standard IEC 62264-2 has been prepared by subcommittee 65E: Devices and integration in enterprise systems, of IEC technical committee 65: Industrial-process measurement, control, and automation, and by ISO technical committee 184/SC5: Interoperability, integration and architectures for enterprise systems and automation applications. It is published as a double logo standard.

This document is based upon IEC CD 62264-2 and ANSI/ISA 95.00.02-2018 (ED3), Enterprise-Control System Integration, Part 2: Objects and Attributes for Enterprise-Control System Integration. It is used with the permission of the copyright holder, the Instrumentation, Systems and Automation Society (ISA).

This third edition cancels and replaces the second edition published in 2013. This edition constitutes a technical revision. Due to the extent of the changes and updates, this document cannot ensure backward compatibility to implementations based on older editions. This edition includes the following significant technical changes with respect to the previous edition and ANSI/ISA 95.00.02-2018 (ED3):

a) object models are added for the use of interactive communications to notify subscribers about the occurrence of events and to provide context information about the event, making the information exchange more efficient and consistent. The added object models were the operations event model and operations record model.

b) operations location model and spatial definition attribute added to allow the description of operation locations.

c) operations test model added to define how test specifications and test results are related to testable objects, operations test requirements, actual resource, and work definitions.

d) definition of possible measurement uncertainty sub-attributes for all value, quantity and duration attributes defined in this document.

e) updated hierarchy scope model.

f) removed as separate models in this edition were the models for product definition, production schedule, production performance, and production capability. Their content is covered for all manufacturing operations management categories under operations models.

g) object model was added for the operations segment capability as a collection of resources related to other operations models.

h) updated relationship name and role name conventions established in 3.3.4 and implemented across all models and associated tables.

i) updated all objects' relationship role table with explicit source and target names.

j) updated common header attributes for objects and property objects established in 4.5 and implemented across all models and associated tables.

k) updated explanation of the 'relationships between resource reference objects in operations management information models and resource models. These additional resource relationships are added to all operations management models.

l) added an annex explanation for implementation options for specifying values in unit of measurement attribute.

m) added an annex explanation for implementation considerations for inheritance and persistence of data exchange object models.

The text of this International Standard is based on the following documents:

Draft	Report on voting
65E/1192/FDIS	65E/1200A/RVD

Full information on the voting for its approval can be found in the report on voting indicated in the above table.

The language used for the development of this International Standard is English.

This document was drafted in accordance with ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2, and developed in accordance with ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1 and ISO/IEC Directives, IEC Supplement, available at www.iec.ch/members_experts/refdocs. The main document types developed by IEC are described in greater detail at www.iec.ch/publications.

The list of all the parts of the IEC 62264 series, under the general title *Enterprise-Control system integration*, can be found on the IEC website.

The committee has decided that the contents of this document will remain unchanged until the stability date indicated on the IEC website under webstore.iec.ch in the data related to the specific document. At this date, the document will be

– reconfirmed,
– withdrawn, or
– revised.

INTRODUCTION

IEC 62264 is a multi-part standard that defines the interface content between enterprise activities and control activities. This part of IEC 62264 further defines formal object models for exchange information described in IEC 62264-1 using UML object models, tables of attributes, and examples. The models and terminology defined in this document:

a) emphasize good integration practices of control systems with enterprise systems during the entire life cycle of the systems;

b) can be used to improve existing integration capability of manufacturing control systems with enterprise systems;

c) can be applied regardless of the degree of automation; and

d) can be used as a basis for new developments in Smart Manufacturing and Industrie 4.0 initiatives.

Specifically, this document provides a standard terminology and a consistent set of concepts and models for integrating control systems with enterprise systems that will improve communications between all parties involved. Benefits produced will:

e) reduce the user's time to reach full production levels for new products;

f) enable vendors to supply appropriate tools for implementing integration of control systems to enterprise systems;

g) enable users to better identify their needs;

h) reduce the cost of automating manufacturing processes;

i) optimize supply chains; and

j) reduce life-cycle engineering efforts.

This document can be used to reduce the effort associated with implementing new product offerings. The goal is to have enterprise systems and control systems that interoperate and easily integrate.

It is not the intent of the standard to:

k) suggest that there is only one way of implementing integration of control systems to enterprise systems;

l) force users to abandon their current way of handling integration; or

m) restrict development in the area of integration of control systems to enterprise systems.

1 Scope

This part of IEC 62264 specifies interface content exchanged between manufacturing control functions and other enterprise functions as interrelated information models. The information models are represented as an interrelated collection of conceptual object models which can be used for the implementation of applications with logical data and physical data models. The data exchanges in interfaces are scoped as between Level 3 manufacturing operations and Level 4 business systems in the hierarchical model defined in IEC 62264-1. The purpose of this document is to reduce the risk, cost, and errors associated with interface implementation.

Since this document covers many manufacturing operations and enterprise domains and there are many different standards for those domains, the semantics of this data exchange standard are described at a conceptual level intended to enable the other standards to be mapped to these semantics. To this end, this document defines a set of elements contained in the generic interface, together with a mechanism for extending the interface content for implementations.

The scope is limited to the definition of object models and attributes of the exchanged information defined in the IEC 62264-1.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

IEC 62264-1:2013, *Enterprise-control system integration - Part 1: Models and terminology*

IEC 62474, *Material declaration for products of and for the electrotechnical industry*

ISO/IEC 19501, *Information technology - Open Distributed Processing - Unified Modeling Language (UML) Version 1.4.2*

ISO/IEC 19505-1, *Information technology - Object Management Group Unified Modeling Language (OMG UML) - Part 1: Infrastructure*

ISO/IEC 19505-2, *Information technology - Object Management Group Unified Modeling Language (OMG UML) - Part 2: Superstructure*

ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008, *Uncertainty of measurement - Part 3: Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement (GUM:1995)*

ISO/IEC Guide 99:2007, *International vocabulary of metrology - Basic and general concepts and associated terms (VIM)*

ISO 10303-1, *Industrial automation systems and integration - Product data representation and exchange - Part 1: Overview and fundamental principles*

ISO 15000-5, *Electronic Business Extensible Markup Language (ebXML) - Part 5: Core Components Specification (CCS)*